UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case No. 09-50026 In the Matter of: GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, et al., Debtors. U.S. Bankruptcy Court One Bowling Green New York, New York June 1, 2009 4:25 PM

B E F O R E:

HON. ROBERT E. GERBER

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

HEARING re Motion for Joint Administration; Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Directing Joint Administration of Chapter 11

Cases Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1015(b)

HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105 (a), 342(a), and 521(a)(1), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1007(a) and 2002(a), (d), (f) and (1), and Local Bankruptcy Rule 1007-1 (I) Waiving Requirement to File Lists of Creditors and Equity Security Holders and (II) Approving Form and Manner of Notifying Creditors of Commencement of Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases and First Meeting of Creditors

HEARING re Motion to Extend Time / Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 521 and Fed. R. Bankr.

P. 1007(c) Extending Time to File Schedules of Assets and Liabilities, Schedules of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases, and Statement of Financial Affairs

21 HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion of Debtors for Entry of
22 Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 105(a) Enforcing Protection
23 of 11 U.S.C. Sections 362, 365(e)(1), and 525

HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 363(c)(1) and 503(b)(1)(A) Granting Administrative Expense Status to Undisputed Obligations to Vendors Arising from Postpetition Delivery of Goods and Services Ordered Prepetition and Authorizing Debtors to Pay Such Obligations in Ordinary Course of Business

HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a), 345(b), 363(b) and 363(c) and 364(a), and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6003 and 6004 (A) Authorizing Debtors to (i) Continue Using Existing Cash Management System, (ii) Honor Certain Prepetition Obligations Related to Use of Cash Management System, and (iii) Maintain Existing Bank Accounts and Business Forms; (B) Extending Time to Comply with 11 U.S.C. Section 345(b); and (C) Scheduling a Final Hearing

2.0

2.4

HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a), 363(b), and 507,

(I) Authorizing Debtors to (a) Pay Certain Employee

Compensation and Benefits and (b) Maintain and Continue Such

Benefits and other Employee-Related Programs and (II) Directing

Banks to Honor Prepetition Checks for Payment of Prepetition

Employee Obligations

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

212-267-6868

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a) and 363(b) (I) Authorizing Debtors to Pay Prepetition Obligations to Foreign Creditors and (II) Authorizing and Directing Financial Institutions to Honor and Process Related Checks and Transfers

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a) and 363 Authorizing Debtors to Honor Prepetition Obligations to Customers, Dealers, and Trade Customers and to Otherwise Continue Warranty, Credit Card, Other Customer, Dealer, and Trade Customer Programs in the Ordinary Course of Business

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105 and 546(c) Establishing and Implementing Exclusive and Global Procedures for Treatment of Reclamation Claims

2.0

2.2

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a) and 503(b)(9) Establishing Procedures for the Assertion, Resolution, and Satisfaction of Claims
Asserted Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 503(b)(9)

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11
U.S.C. Sections 105 and 363(b) Authorizing Payment of Certain
Prepetition (I) Shipping and Delivery Charges for Goods in
Transit, (II) Customs Duties, and (III) Tooling and Mechanics
Lien Charges

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 363 (b), 507(a)(8), 541, and 105(a), Authorizing Debtors to Pay Prepetition Taxes and Assessments

HEARING re Motion for Approval of Adequate Assurance of Payment to Utility Services and Continuation of Service; Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105(a) and 366 (I) Approving Debtors Proposed Form of Adequate Assurance of Payment, (II) Establishing Procedures for Resolving Objections By Utility Companies, and (III) Prohibiting Utilities from Altering, Refusing, or Discontinuing Service

2

3

4

5

7

HEARING re Motion to Approve Use of Cash Collateral; Motion of Debtors for Entry of Orders Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. Sections 105, 361, 362, 363, and 507 (i) Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral, (ii) Granting Adequate Protection to The Revolver Secured Parties, (iii) Granting Adequate Protection to The Term Loan Secured Parties, and (iv) Scheduling a Final Hearing Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 4001

9

8

HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of An Order Pursuant to
11 U.S.C. Sections 361, 362, 363, and 364 (i) Authorizing The

Debtors To Obtain Postpetition Financing, Including on an

13 Immediate, Interim Basis; (ii) Granting Superpriority Claims

and Liens; (iii) Authorizing The Debtors to Use Cash

Collateral; (iv) Granting Adequate Protection to Certain

Prepetition Secured Parties; (v) Authorizing The Debtors to

17 Prepay Certain Secured Obligations In Full Within 45 Days; and

18 (vi) Scheduling A Final Hearing Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule

19 4001

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

2	HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11
3	U.S.C. Sections 363(b), 503(b), and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr.
4	P. 6003 and 6004 (I) Authorizing Debtors to (a) Continue Their
5	Liability, Product, Property, and Other Insurance Programs and
6	(b) Pay All Obligations in Respect Thereof, and (II)
7	Authorizing and Directing Financial Institutions to Honor and
8	Process Checks and Transfers Related to Such Obligations
9	
10	HEARING re Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to 11
11	U.S.C. Sections 105, 363, and 364 Authorizing Debtors to (I)
12	Pay Prepetition Claims of Certain Essential Suppliers, Vendors
13	and Services Providers Providers; (II) Continue Troubled
14	Supplier Assistance Program; and (III) Continue Participation
15	in The United States Treasury Auto Supplier Support Program
16	
17	HEARING re Motion for Entry of Order Authorizing Debtors to
18	Enter Into, and Approving, Ratification Agreement with GMAC LLC
19	
20	HEARING re Joint Motion of the Debtors and GMAC LLC for Entry
21	of Order Authorizing Them to File Documents Under Seal
22	
23	

24

25

HEARING re Motion of the Debtors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections

105(a) and 362 for Entry of (I) Interim and Final Orders

Establishing Notification Procedures Regarding Restrictions on

Certain Transfers of Interests in the Debtors and (II) Orders

Scheduling A Final Hearing

HEARING re Motion for Sale of Property under Section 363(b);

Debtors' Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 105, 363(b),

(f), (k), and (m), and 365 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 6004,

and 6006, to (I) Approve (A) The Sale Pursuant to The Master

Sale and Purchase Agreement with Vehicle Acquisition Holdings

LLC, A U.S. Treasury-Sponsored Purchaser, Free and Clear of

Liens, Claims, Encumbrances, and Other Interests; (B) The

Assumption and Assignment of Certain Executory Contracts and

Unexpired Leases; and (C) Other Relief; and (II) Schedule Sale

2.0

HEARING re Motion by Debtors for Entry of Order Pursuant to
11 U.S.C. Section 365 Authorizing the Rejection of Aircraft and
Airport Lease Agreements and for Related Relief

24 Transcribed By: Clara Rubin

Approval Hearing

3

2 HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ AND COHN LLP

Attorneys for Debtor/Defendant General Motors Corporation

4 2290 First National Building

5 660 Woodward Avenue

6 Detroit, MI 48226

7

8 BY: JOSEPH R. SGROI, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

9 TRICIA A. SHERICK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

10

11

12 OTTERBOURG, STEINDLER, HOUSTON & ROSEN, P.C.

13 Attorneys for GMAC LLC

14 230 Park Avenue

15 New York, NY 10169

16

17 BY: JONATHAN N. HELFAT, ESQ.

18 MELANIE L. CYGANOWSKI, ESQ.

19 DANIEL WALLEN, ESQ.

20 STEVEN B. SOLL, ESQ.

21 KENNETH S. MILLER, ESQ.

22

23

24

25

BARRY N. SEIDEL, ESQ.

24

25

BY:

```
18
 1
 2
      VEDDER PRICE P.C.
 3
            Attorneys for Export Development Canada
            1633 Broadway
 4
            47th Floor
 5
            New York, NY 10019
 6
 7
 8
      BY:
           MICHAEL J. EDELMAN, ESQ.
 9
            MICHAEL L. SCHEIN, ESQ.
10
11
      ALLARD & FISH, P.C.
            Attorneys for Creditor Severstal North America, Inc.
12
            535 Griswold
13
            Suite 2600
14
           Detroit, MI 48226
15
16
17
      BY:
           DEBORAH L. FISH, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
18
19
      BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
20
            Attorneys for Creditor Continental AG
21
            171 Monroe Avenue N.W.
2.2
            Suite 1000
23
            Grand Rapids, MI 49503
24
25
      BY:
            JOHN T. GREGG, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
```

```
22
 1
 2
      PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
 3
            Attorneys for Creditor SKF USA Inc.
            400 Berwyn Park
 4
            899 Cassatt Road
 5
            Berwyn, PA 19312
 6
 7
           HENRY J. JAFFE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
 8
      BY:
 9
10
11
      RATH YOUNG AND PIGNATELLI, P.C.
12
            Interested Party
           54 Canal Street
13
            Boston, MA 02114
14
15
16
      BY:
           GILBERT F. WHITTEMOORE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
17
18
19
      SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
20
            Attorneys for Creditor Goodyear, et al.
           221 E. Fourth Street
21
           Suite 2900
2.2
23
            Cincinnati, OH 45202
24
25
      BY:
           P. CASEY COSTON, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
```

212-267-6868

516-608-2400

2 STUTZMAN, BROMBERG, ESSERMAN & PLIFKA, A PROFESSIONAL

3 CORPORATION

4 Attorneys for Ad Hoc Committee of Unsecured Creditors

5 2323 Bryan Street

6 Suite 2200

7 Dallas, TX 75201

8

9 BY: SANDER L. ESSERMAN, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

10 JO E. HARTWICK, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

11

12 THOMPSON & KNIGHT LLP

13 | 333 Clay Street

14 Suite 3300

15 Houston, TX 77002

16

17 BY: DEMETRA L. LIGGINS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

18

19 WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

20 Attorneys for Interested Party Siemens PLM

21 One Penn Plaza

22 | 250 W. 34th Street, Suite 4110

23 New York, NY 10119

24

25 BY: KAREL S. KARPE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

```
24
 1
 2
      BNP PARIBAS
 3
           Creditor
           787 Seventh Avenue
 4
           Suite 27
 5
           New York, NY 10019
 6
 7
      BY: GREN DAY, DIRECTOR (TELEPHONICALLY)
 8
 9
10
11
      CITIGROUP
12
           Creditor
13
           New York, NY
14
15
      BY: ERIC GELLER (TELEPHONICALLY)
16
17
      DEUTSCHE BANK AG
18
19
           Creditor
20
           60 Wall Street
           New York, NY 10005
21
22
23
      BY: JAMES HOWARD MACINNIS, JR. (TELEPHONICALLY)
24
25
```

			25	
1				
2	FARALLON CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.L.C.			
3		Creditor		
4		One Maritime Plaza		
5		Suite 2100		
6		San Francisco, CA 94111		
7				
8	BY:	MAX A. STRASBURG (TELEPHONICALLY)		
9				
10				
11	JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.			
12		Creditor		
13		270 Park Avenue		
14		New York, NY 10017		
15				
16	BY:	CONRAD FLAKE (TELEPHONICALLY)		
17				
18				
19	MONAR	CH ALTERNATIVE CAPITAL LP		
20		Interested Party		
21		535 Madison Avenue		
22		New York, NY 10022		
23				
24	BY:	ROBERT BURNS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)		
25				

```
27
 1
 2
      WATERSHED ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC
 3
            Creditor
           One Maritime Plaza, Suite 1525
 4
 5
            San Francisco, CA 94111
 6
 7
      BY: ERIN ROSS, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
 8
 9
      UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA
10
           Creditor
11
           Five Gateway Center
12
           Pittsburgh, PA 15222
13
      BY: DAVID JURY, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
14
15
16
      DENNIS A. PRIETO, IN PRO PER (TELEPHONICALLY)
17
            For Aurelius Capital
18
      BAO TRUONG (TELEPHONICALLY)
19
20
           Creditor
           New York
21
22
23
      ERIC G. UDOFF (TELEPHONICALLY)
24
            Interested Party
25
            New Jersey
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: Have seats, everybody.
3	Mr. Miller, good afternoon.
4	MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: We're here on first-day motions on General
6	Motors Corp.
7	Mr. Miller, the 1007-2 that you submitted was one of
8	the most comprehensive I think I've ever seen. But I wonder if
9	you want to make some preliminary observations before you get
10	into your motions.
11	MR. MILLER: If I may, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Go ahead.
13	MR. MILLER: If Your Honor please, Harvey Miller,
14	Weil, Gotshal & Manges, together with Stephen Karotkin and
15	Joseph Smolinsky, who will be handling part of this hearing,
16	Your Honor. First of all, Your Honor, let me thank you for
L7	accommodating the debtors at this late hour.
18	THE COURT: Would you pause, please, Mr. Miller?
19	Folks, we're trying to make these proceedings
20	available for overflow rooms and people on the phone. We need
21	you to be quiet outside the courtroom.
22	Try again, Mr. Miller.
23	MR. MILLER: First, Your Honor, I would like to thank
24	you very much for accommodating the debtors at this late hour

in the afternoon. In the matter of this case, time is really

of the essence.

2.0

Second, Your Honor, I would like to introduce to you -- in the courtroom today with us is Mr. Frederick

Henderson who is the president and chief executive officer of General Motors Corporation, who is sitting right here, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Mr. Henderson, welcome.

MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: -- Mr. Robert Osborne, who is the general counsel of General Motors Corporation, Your Honor.

Today, Your Honor, is the culmination of the longest day that started approximately two or three weeks ago. The hearing may be a little ragged, Your Honor, as a great many people who are participating have been up for an extended period of time.

For the purposes of the hearing, Your Honor, and as a predicate for the relief requested in a number of the motions, I would like to offer as part of the record Mr. Henderson's affidavit pursuant to Rule 1007-2 and as the chief executive officer and president of General Motors Corporation, which is sworn to June 1, 2009 --

THE COURT: Yes, that's customary.

MR. MILLER: -- and the declaration, Your Honor, of William C. Repko, a senior managing director of Evercore Corporation -- Evercore Group, excuse me, LLC, dated May 31,

2009.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

The date, time and venue for the commencement of these Chapter 11 cases, Your Honor, has been the subject of so much media attention and notoriety that today almost feels like the second day. This isn't -- there isn't a media source which has not reported the commencement of these Chapter 11 cases in full detail before they were actually filed, and it says something, Your Honor, for the skill and acumen of the reporters and commentators involved.

Be that as it may, Your Honor, the ultimate question presented is, is this trip necessary? To answer that question, we need to look at the facts. Historically, Your Honor, General Motors has been an icon of the automotive industry. Its brand names include Cadillac, Buick, Chevrolet, GMC and others that have long resonated with the public as the standard bearers of the American automotive industry.

Unfortunately, as the world has evolved and the United States has entered into a global economy, facts and circumstances changed with great velocity and often circumscribed the ability to respond in an appropriate way. Many basic American industries, as emerging nations have been able to replicate and sometimes improve American production and methods and technology, have come to the forefront as they are not weighed down by cost and expenses that have become encrusted over the years and have put companies such as General

212-267-6868

Motors in a relatively noncompetitive position.

Undeniably, for the past few years, General Motors has been buffeted by a series of exogenous events and circumstances that have caused -- almost caused its termination of operations, a termination, Your Honor, that would have occurred but for the ability of General Motors in December 2008 to negotiate and obtain financing from the United States Treasury in December of 2008. On December 31, 2008, General Motors entered into a loan and security agreement with the United States Treasury, pursuant to which the Treasury agreed to advance up to 13.4 billion dollars over the term of that agreement to enable General Motors to continue to maintain operations while pursuing an effort to reshape itself into a leaner, better, more efficient and economic business enterprise. There were no other lenders, financiers or investors who came forward or who could be found to provide the necessary financing. There was no possible access to the capital markets to enable General Motors to meet its liquidity needs and maintain operations.

All prior efforts during the fall of 2008 to access the capital markets or to sell sufficient assets to avoid a meltdown were in vain. The first drawer under the LSA, Your Honor, was at 4 billion dollars made on December 31, 2008. projected 13.4 billion dollars of borrowings from the United States Treasury turned out to be inadequate as 2009 unfolded.

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

The automotive industry, including General Motors, continued to be subjected to the effects of what was first thought to be a mild recession, then advanced into a very serious recession and soon began to be characterized by many as a depression.

Indeed, insofar as the automotive industry was concerned, it was the worst of times since the end of World War II in 1945.

2.0

From the standpoint of the industry, Your Honor, the deteriorating economic conditions that began during the beginning of the spring and summer of 2008, as gas prices escalated and caused consumers to change their driving habits, exploded in the fall of 2008 with the collapse of Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc. on September 15, 2008.

However, it was not so much the serious and damaging effects on the financial markets per se that immensely impacted General Motors but more importantly, Your Honor, the effects on the consumer public. As credit tightened and the negative economic circumstances deepened, unemployment figures rose and the value of home equities precipitously declined, resulting in a monumental crisis of confidence. In that environment, Your Honor, the consumer effectively retreated from spending. And it appears from the habit of purchasing a car or a truck became something to be deferred or suspended.

The crisis of confidence spread like wildfire. It did not only affect General Motors but essentially all of the original equipment manufacturers. Even the nondomestic

competitive giants like Toyota, Honda and Nissan were affected. And each of these entities, despite substantially lower breakeven points, because of significantly lower legacy and other costs, is reporting operating losses.

So where are we today, Your Honor? Allow me to put it into perspective. General Motors is a global enterprise. It employs 235,000 persons worldwide as of March 31, 2009. Of that amount, 163,000, approximately, were -- are hourly employees, and 71,000 salaried employees. These Chapter 11 cases, Your Honor, only affect the U.S. operations of General Motors. That involves over 90,000 employees, of which approximately 62,000 are hourly and represented primarily by the United Auto Workers of America. Less than one hundred active employees are represented by other unions.

For the year end of December 31, 2008, the consolidated enterprise had net sales and revenues of 149 billion dollars and reported losses from operations of 30.8 billion dollars. Since 2005 and through the first quarter of 2009, the enterprise has reported cumulative net losses of 88 billion dollars. For the same period it had negative cumulative operating losses of 41.6 billion dollars. As of March 31, 2009, it reported consolidated assets totaling 82,290,000,000 dollars, and liabilities of 172,810,000,000 dollars.

Currently, industry sales in the United States are at

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 516-608-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

their lowest levels since World War II. And Europe, likewise, has almost equally depressed levels of sales. For the first quarter of 2009, General Motors domestic automobile sales dropped by 49 percent compared to the corresponding period in 2008.

2.0

To effectuate its business, General Motors relies upon an effective dealer network; it is a critical part of the General Motors business. Currently, General Motors has almost 6,000 dealers and is engaged in a process to rationalize and streamline and make that dealership network more effective while at the same time alleviating the distress that may be incurred by dealers that can no longer be part of that network.

General Motors is also critical to the maintenance of the North American automotive supplier community. It does business with almost 11,500 suppliers of parts and components, and expends on an annual basis from 30- to 50 billion dollars a year -- 30- to 50 billion dollars for parts and components. A failure on the part of General Motors would result in severe systemic consequences to that industry.

General Motors' total worldwide car and truck deliveries for 2008 were 8.4 million units as compared to 9.4 (sic) units in 2007, a significant decline. The economic facts and circumstances of the recession and the shrinking global economy have continued unabated as it affects the automotive industry. Yet, General Motors remains a basic component of the

United States industrial complex, as President Obama said today in his remarks to the public.

2.0

2.4

As a major direct employer and indirectly the support for hundreds of other businesses and communities, its continued existence and ability to resume its position as a successful automotive manufacturing company is critical not only to itself and to those directly involved but to the interests of the country. It is a key element in the maintenance of the North American manufacturing base. The federal government, the governments of Canada and Ontario, through Economic (sic) Development Canada, have recognized these facts. They are putting their taxpayer dollars on the line to support transforming the assets to be sold in these cases to effectuate the reinvention of a new and better General Motors.

The UAW and a high percentage of General Motors public bondholders, and we believe more than 50 percent, Your Honor, likewise now recognize the need to effectuate what is being proposed in these Chapter 11 cases, Your Honor: a transaction pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code to sell substantially all of the assets of these debtors to a government-sponsored entity.

Canada, Germany and the Canadian Auto Workers all have joined in support of this process. And I might add at this point, Your Honor, and I'm sure Your Honor has read in many media -- saw from many media sources that it's not only what is

happening in the United States that is of such significance but what is happening in Europe in connection with the potential reinvestment and resuscitation of Opel, Vauxhall, which are parts of the General Motor enterprise in which the German government is providing a bridge loan of a billion and a half euros to allow that process to go forward and the sale of those assets so that that enterprise can continue.

And Canada, Your Honor, there has been substantial advances made in resolving all of the issues relating to Canada over the last ten days to the point, Your Honor, that it is not necessary to institute any proceedings involving GMCO, which is the Canadian subsidiary.

It is in that context, Your Honor, that we find ourselves here in what in one sense is a sad event, and another sense the occasion to mark the beginning of a new era for the benefit of all parties-in-interest. After consideration of all options, and after good-faith and arm's-length negotiations with its largest secured creditor, the United States Treasury, with EDC and the UAW, and taking into account what is in the best interest of the corporation and its residual stakeholders, General Motors decided that this immense and difficult process would have to be pursued.

The urgent need to create a new General Motors, not overburdened by debt and other costs that made it essentially noncompetitive, will be the result of a the process that has

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

been initiated today if approved by the Court. Together with the resolution of the European and Canadian issues, the success of the Section 363 transaction will result in the New General Motors that President Obama described today. General Motors could no longer continue without the relief which it seeks in this Court. Burdened by almost 27 billion dollars of secured debt and without any access to liquidity, it was incumbent upon General Motors to find the means to preserve the going-concern value of its assets to benefit all of the economic stakeholders as well as the public interest.

It has not been an easy process. Many parties have worked 24/7 without rest and a Herculean effort to find a solution to protecting and preserving the value of the assets for the common good, assets which must be recognized as fragile in the sense that any liquidation of General Motors would substantially diminish their value.

The car and truck manufacturing business is a cash and labor-intensive business. Its stability and progress is dependent upon its sales and revenues. In that perspective, the business of General Motors cannot be compared to any other distressed entities that find their way to this Court. The purchase of a car or a truck for most or almost all American households is a major expenditure. Generally it is the second largest expenditure of such a household. At purchase price ranges of 10- to 25,000 dollars or more, it is a cost which is

212-267-6868

2.0

2.4

only incurred after much consideration. There are many choices in the purchase of a car or truck. Consumer confidence is a critical element for a company such as General Motors. consumer needs to be assured that the manufacturer is reliable, will be there to honor warranties, services, and produce an outstanding product that provides great value, including ultimate resale value.

As an automobile manufacturer becomes entangled in bankruptcy, it is the opinion of many, including General Motors, that it will not have the ability to sell its products during a prolonged bankruptcy case. How many consumers will make a major expenditure as long as the uncertainty of bankruptcy looms like a black cloud over the company? This was the precise issue that was addressed by your brother in this bankruptcy court in the Chapter 11 of Chrysler LLC over the past ten days, which resulted in the decision that was filed Sunday night, late Sunday night, approving the Section 363 transaction in that case.

Consequently, if there's going to be a recovery of value for the assets of General Motors, it's necessary in an absolute sense that the assets be sold as quickly as possible to a purchaser who will immediately commence and resume the operations of a new General Motors. That is the primary objective of these Chapter 11 cases and why General Motors has elected to proceed pursuant to Section 363 of the Bankruptcy

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

212-267-6868

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

Code to sell substantially all of its assets.

And in that connection, Your Honor, there is only one prospective purchaser, and that is the Treasury-sponsored Vehicle Acquisition Holdings LLC. The debtors today have filed a motion with the Court seeking approval of the proposed sale to the Treasury-sponsored entity pursuant to that section of the Bankruptcy Code and related provisions.

The United Treasury, Your Honor, is the largest secured creditor of the debtors with a claim in excess of 19.4 billion dollars. It has agreed to provide debtor-in-possession financing under Section 364 of the Bankruptcy Code provided that the proposed Section 363 transaction is approved no later than July 10, 2009. If the transaction is approved, then within seventy-five days from the commencement of these cases the transaction must close.

To ensure the preservation and value of the purchased assets, the United Treasury, as debtor-in-possession financier, will provide approximately 33.3 billion dollars to the debtors to bridge the period to the consummation of the transaction if approved. Currently, Your Honor, General Motors has cash on hand of approximately 2 billion dollars. That is a minimal amount of money in the context of these cases. Accordingly, it's urgent that the debtor-in-possession be put in place now, and we are asking Your Honor to approve interim debtor-inpossession financing today in the amount of 15 billion dollars.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

And this financing, Your Honor, is being provided not only by the United States Treasury but in part by the EDC on behalf of the governments of Canada and Ontario.

2.0

And in addition, Your Honor, we are requesting today that the Court approve the proposed sale procedures relative to the Section 363 motion. And I should point out, Your Honor, this Section 363 motion is somewhat different than the 363 motions which have been popular over the past two or so years. The government is not taking the characterization, Your Honor, as a stalking horse. It is making an offer to purchase. It is not asking for a breakup fee. The only reimbursement of expenses that would occur, Your Honor, is if the prospective purchaser is outbid, which, I have to say, Your Honor, in all reality is highly unlikely. There is nobody else who has the wherewithal -- or no entity that has the wherewithal to bid in these cases.

And as part of this transaction, Your Honor, there is outstanding today secured debt of almost 6 billion dollars, or slightly more than 6 billion dollars. As part of this transaction, the U.S. Treasury will, in effect, refinance that debt and take over that debt. And that will be part of the 33.3 billion dollars of debtor-in-possession financing.

And I'm not going to go into the 363 motion at this point, Your Honor. All we are asking for from the Court today is to set and approve the sale procedures. And it will be

subject, Your Honor, although I think it is somewhat illusory, to higher or better offers. And what we are proposing, Your Honor, because of the exigencies that are involved in this case, is that, if it's convenient to the Court, that the sale approval hearing be held on June 30, 2009, approximately thirty days from today.

And we have, Your Honor, set up a schedule, subject to Your Honor's approval, to fix the objection deadline for June 19 and the bid deadline for June 22nd. That's one of the first-day motions that is on the agenda for today, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Um-hum. And the motion for approval of the 363 would be returnable on the 30th, Tuesday the 30th of June?

MR. MILLER: That's correct, Your Honor, if it's convenient to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go on, please.

2.0

MR. MILLER: With that introduction and those comments, Your Honor, we have listed and submitted to the Court a number of proposed first-day orders. And with Your Honor's permission, I would proceed with those orders under the category of procedural or administrative. And I --

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Miller, you could help us do our jobs if you would handle joint admin first --

MR. MILLER: That's number one on the list, Your
Honor.

THE COURT: -- which facilitates docketing; then your 1 2 procedural motions and your other motions that are almost 3 always granted in --4 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. THE COURT: -- cases of this character. 5 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. The first application, or 6 motion, is to -- pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b), directing 7 that these four Chapter 11 cases be jointly administered. 8 THE COURT: May I interrupt? 9 Anybody opposed to joint admin? 10 11 Granted. MR. MILLER: The second motion, Your Honor, is for an 12 order pursuant to Section 105(a) and related provisions and 13 Bankruptcy Rule -- Local Bankruptcy Rule -- I'm sorry, 14 Bankruptcy Rule 1007(a) and 2002 waiving the requirements to 15 16 file a list of creditors and equity securityholders, and approving the forms and manner of notifying creditors of the 17 commencement of the debtor's cases. 18 19 THE COURT: Pause, please. 2.0 Any opposition? 21 Granted. MR. MILLER: The next motion, Your Honor, is a request 22 23 for an order pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 1007-2(e) scheduling the initial case conference. 2.4 25 THE COURT: I might be of a mind to waive it, but if

you think it would be helpful let's put it on a date you would recommend, coordinated with other matters that this case is also using.

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. May I suggest that -- I understand from Ms. Adams, United States Trustee, that there will be an organizational meeting of creditors this Wednesday. And if it's convenient, Your Honor, we would discuss with the creditors' committee what would be a convenient date for the case conference.

THE COURT: Ms. Adams, are you going to be speaking for your folks today, or one of your colleagues, or --

MS. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. Did I understand Mr. Miller to say that you're going to try to get an organizational meeting of creditors on Wednesday, that's June 3rd, two days from now?

MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, it's scheduled for Wednesday

June 3rd at 10 a.m. at the Hilton New York Hotel, 1335 6th

Avenue.

THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. I will need to ask you and anybody else who's helping out, because there are people listening in other rooms and on the phones, and this is a crowded courtroom, to come over to a microphone so you can be heard more loudly. I think you announced an address. I didn't get it myself.

MS. ADAMS: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize. Diana

Adams for the United States Trustee's Office. 1 2 organizational meeting will be held this Wednesday, June 3rd, 3 10 a.m., at the Hilton New York Hotel, 1335 6th Avenue, between 53rd and 54th Street. And the ballroom will be on the 4 electronic bulletin board by the elevators as you enter. 5 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. 6 Back to you, Mr. Miller, I'm sorry. 7 MR. MILLER: I would also add, Your Honor, there is a 8 Web site that has been set up by the Garden City Group in 9 connection with this case, and access to that Web site will 10 11 carry all the information relative to the case. 12 THE COURT: Okay. That's very helpful. Thank you. MR. MILLER: So we will get back to Your Honor with a 13 proposal date for the initial case conference. 14 THE COURT: Good. 15 16 MR. MILLER: The fourth application, Your Honor, is, pursuant to Section 521 and Bankruptcy Rule 1007(c), extending 17 the time to file schedules of assets and liabilities, schedules 18 19 of executory contracts and unexpired leases, and statement of financial affairs. 2.0 21 THE COURT: On a case of this type, that's pretty understandable. 22 Any objection by the U.S. Trustee's Office? 23 MS. ADAMS: (No audible response). 24 THE COURT: None. 25

Motion granted.

2.0

MR. MILLER: The fifth motion, Your Honor, is for an order, pursuant to Section 363(c)(1) and 503(b)(1)(A), granting administration expense status to the debtors' undisputed obligations to vendors arising from post-petition delivery of goods and services that were ordered pre-petition but delivered post-petition.

THE COURT: Anybody want to be heard on this?

I'm going to grant this with just a word of

explanation. Many people would be of the view you don't need
this. This is a classic comfort order.

MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: But it's my practice to give the vendor community comfort that they have rights which many of us would understand that they already have. But this is an important concern, and it's granted for that reason.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. The sixth motion, Your Honor, again, is in the category of a comfort order. It is a request for an order pursuant to Section 105 enforcing the protections of Sections 362, 365(e) and 525. This is an order, Your Honor, which is going to be sent out to vendors and other persons interested in these estates, advising them of the provisions of these sections.

THE COURT: Granted for the same reason. Having these understandings clear has got to be helpful to everybody.

MR. MILLER: We now turn, Your Honor, to the substantive orders. And I will tell Your Honor, with the permission of Ms. Adams, that we have reviewed with the Office of the United States Trustee all of these first-day motions, and we have taken into account all of the comments which have been made on behalf of the Office of the United States Trustee. And I would make one general representation, Your Honor, in respect of Local Rule 6003: The debtors will only pay during the next twenty days those obligations which become due in the regular course of that period of time and not beyond that.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.4

MR. MILLER: Okay, and in that connection, Your Honor, and as to these substantive motions, we are going to play a sort of tag game on them.

THE COURT: Not a problem.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ MILLER: Mr. Karotkin will take the cash management.

THE COURT: Mr. Karotkin, come on up, please.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Stephen

Karotkin, Weil, Gotshal & Manges, for the debtors. The cash

management motion, Your Honor, as Mr. Miller indicated, we have

reviewed it in detail with the Office of the United States

Trustee. They've only raised one issue and that's with respect

to the waiver of compliance with Section 345, which we've

agreed would be waived on an interim basis. As the order

reflects, it is an interim order, and during the interim period we would try to work with the Office of the United States

Trustee to address the 345 issue.

2.0

The motion is a relatively straightforward motion which describes the debtors' cash management systems and requests that debtors have authority to continue that system, continue the maintenance of existing bank accounts and continue transfer of funds among the debtors and their affiliates in the ordinary course of business consistent with their pre-petition practices. It also requests that they be permitted to continue to maintain their existing business forms. And, of course, since it is only interim relief, it does request that a final hearing be scheduled.

Your Honor, the motion goes into excruciating detail as to the debtors' cash management system and how it works both in the United States as well as overseas. I am more than happy to explain it to you. As I indicated, it is set forth in quite a lot of detail. Basically, the cash management system allows the debtors and their affiliates to function as a unified enterprise and to achieve value through consolidating their various brands. GM, as the parent corporation, derives its strength as a brand and as a company from its subsidiaries. Without the intercompany relationships among GM and its subsidiaries, the ability to operate as a going concern would be hindered.

The cash management system is managed by the debtors'
Treasury personnel in New York with the assistance of certain
third-party processors and service providers. And there are
two principal components; one is a U.S. cash management system,
which is an integrated, centralized cash management system in
the United States under which funds collected by the debtors
and certain of their domestic nondebtor subsidiaries are
transferred to concentration accounts and then disbursed to pay
operating expenses and fund other expenditures of the debtors
and their affiliates.

THE COURT: Mr. Karotkin, can I interrupt you --

MR. KAROTKIN: Sure.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: -- because I read your motion, although I don't claim to have the same mastery of it that you do. Before I ask the couple of questions that I have, and I don't rule out the possibility the answers are in your papers and I missed them, anybody want to be heard in opposition to the cash management system motion?

No objection.

Let me just ask my couple of questions, Mr. Karotkin.

The first you anticipated you were a step ahead of me on. With the lessons that we've learned from bank failures or difficulties over the last couple of years, we pay more attention to 345 compliance than we used to. Did I understand you to say that you're reviewing with the U.S. Trustee's Office

and with your management getting a debtor into 345 compliance or otherwise giving us the comfort that your cash won't be at risk in any depositories?

2.0

2.4

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, sir, that's what we've agreed to do over the ensuing couple of weeks with the Office of the United States Trustee.

other cases on my watch. When money goes back and forth between affiliated debtors or affiliated companies, whether you spend on behalf of another debtor it creates an intercompany obligation. Now, when debtors have guaranteed their funded debt, that's not typically as big a deal or big an issue, but what kind of arrangements do we have to protect individual debtors or individual entities' identity on intercompany obligations such as they're given some kind of superpri or a junior lien? Or is this something that you're working on and you're going to get back to me on?

MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, the order provides, and the debtors certainly track all of the funds, how they move intercompany. Detailed books and records are kept with respect to the transfers, and obviously we would continue to do it on an ongoing basis. We do not provide for any superpriority claims with respect to intercompany transfers. There are only four debtors in this before you. Most of the cash is generated out of General Motors Corporation in the United States.

THE COURT: So it's not likely to be a material issue
in this case, you're saying?

MR. KAROTKIN: I don't believe so, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to be comfortable with that for now. Let me simply ask you to keep focusing on that between now and the time of final consideration on this.

MR. KAROTKIN: Very well, sir. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Anybody else want to be heard on this?

Cash management is approved subject to reconsideration at the final.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Smolinsky, good afternoon.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Moving to number 8 on the agenda is the debtors' motion for authority to pay pre-petition wages.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, the wage motion is designed to preserve one of the debtors' most valuable assets: its human capital. GM, as we stated earlier, but I could update those numbers from March 31st, GM employs approximately 224,000 employees around the globe who are dependent upon the continued viability of the debtors. Of this number, the debtors employ approximately 80,000 employees, 53- of which are

hourly employees, which are represented by unions. In addition, GM continues to support approximately 600,000 retirees and their dependents.

2.0

2.1

2.4

Throughout this presentation I'll refer to hourly employees as union-represented employees and salaried employees non-union representatives.

Your Honor, we apologize for the length of the wage order. To tell you the truth, I haven't seen one this long, but we determined that it was important to cover all the bases and full disclosure. And I think the sheer number of benefit plans and programs is, in large part, a function of the different collective bargaining agreements and the different programs that were created as a result of collective bargaining.

We've reviewed the wage motion with the U.S. Trustee. We've responded to a number of questions, and hopefully to their satisfaction.

To hit some high notes, Your Honor, all salaried employees are fully paid --

(Conversation from speakerphone inadvertently left open)

THE COURT: Continue, please, Mr. Smolinsky.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Yes. All salaried employees are fully paid through May 31st. So, accordingly, for the salaried employees there is no pre-petition unpaid compensation.

And I'm also relieved to report this is the first time

in my career that every non-union employee is actually subject to direct deposit. So we will not have the issue of --

THE COURT: Clearing their checks?

2.0

2.4

MR. SMOLINSKY: -- checks in float.

With respect to union employees, I can represent, at the request of the U.S. Trustee, that we will not pay any union employees over the statutory cap for pre-petition compensation at this juncture. And I don't believe that anyone would fall into that category. So I was able to make that representation.

A few more high notes, Your Honor. With respect to incentive compensation, of which there really hasn't been any in the last year in any meaningful amount, there are no CRP (ph.) in place. Moreover, while we're seeking authority to continue to pay severance, which are period severance payments, in the ordinary course, there are no insiders in this category as the U.S. Treasury, as part of their conditions of making the loan, has prohibited severance for the top twenty-five highly compensated executives.

Your Honor, I don't intend to walk through the entire motion, unless Your Honor would like me to. I'm certainly available for any questions. There is one further representation that we agreed to accommodate the U.S. Trustee, and that's that during at least the initial twenty-day period that the U.S. Trustee would be able to review any individual business expenses that are in excess of 2,500 dollars,

excluding airfare. And we would make the further 1 2 representation that there will be no first-class airfare. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody want to be heard on this? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER (TELEPHONICALLY): He's hinting 5 on private jets. 6 THE COURT: Is somebody cracking jokes in a pretty 7 serious hearing here? I'm going to say this once more, folks. 8 If people listening on phone aren't on mute, I'm going to 9 disconnect everybody off the telephone. And if everybody 10 11 cracks any jokes about this, I'm going to disconnect everybody from the phone. This is serious to a lot of people's lives, 12 and I would have thought people would understand that. 13 Yes? 14 MS. CECCOTTI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I just 15 took a moment to confer and clarify with Mr. Smolinsky --16 THE COURT: Pause, please. 17 MS. CECCOTTI: I'm sorry. 18 THE COURT: For the transcript, I need identifications 19 2.0 on the record the first time. 21 MS. CECCOTTI: Yes. Babette Ceccotti, Cohen, Weiss and Simon, for the auto workers. 22 THE COURT: Sure, go ahead, Ms. Ceccotti. 23 MS. CECCOTTI: Just in light of the representation 24

25

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

that Mr. Smolinsky made regarding the compensation cap of

10,950 at the request of the United States Trustee, I was asking debtors' counsel if he would clarify that that amount applies to compensation for ongoing employees as opposed to a category of payments that is described in some detail in the motion with respect to attrition programs.

THE COURT: Could you say that again a little bit slower, please, Ms. Ceccotti?

MS. CECCOTTI: Certainly. I was going to ask if the debtors could clarify for us on the record with respect to the representation that Mr. Smolinsky made regarding the 10,950 dollar cap applicable to employee compensation. My understanding, I believe, and I would ask debtors' counsel to confirm, is that that cap would not apply to a category of payments which is described in the motion with respect to attrition programs for employees who, over the course of time, have taken voluntary -- either early retirement or voluntary termination under programs that involved dollar payments to them.

THE COURT: Your point being that they might be nailed by the cap otherwise?

MS. CECCOTTI: Correct.

2.0

22 THE COURT: Mr. Smolinsky?

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, we could let the U.S.

24 Trustee speak. It was not my intention to modify any

25 collective bargaining plan. So it was meant to only cover

1 compensation.

2.2

MS. ADAMS: Diana Adams, the U.S. Trustee's Office.

We did not intend that any collective bargaining agreements be modified, Your Honor. So that's --

THE COURT: Okay. Does that give you the comfort you need, Ms. Ceccotti?

MS. CECCOTTI: It does, thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure. Okay.

Anybody else want to be heard on wages?

All right, this motion's going to be granted for the reasons by which motions of this character have been routinely granted every Chapter 11 case in my career. It's essentially impossible to reorganize a company without doing right by your employees, at least to the extent that you can under the law, and to the extent that you can given your resources. And this is one of the easier motions I've been asked to grant. It's granted, Mr. Smolinsky.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. The next matter, number 9, on the agenda is the critical vendor motion. This is an extremely important motion for GM. We're seeking here today, only on an interim basis, approval of several components comprising an overall approach to critical vendors. GM has spent untold hours analyzing the potential impacts of a Chapter 11 filing on its supplier base: vendors that have

already been struggling with the precipitous drop in automotive sales and now facing a temporary shutdown of substantially all of GM's facilities other than two, which continue to operate.

The others are on staggered program but several have been closed from, I believe, May 18th and will not reopen until July 20th, I believe.

2.0

So we've already seen a number of tier 1 suppliers filing for chapter 11 in recent weeks; I doubt that we've seen the last. And it would be truly a shame after all the work that we have done to preserve the going-concern value of the company that the value would be eroded as a result of a failure to maintain a viable supplier base.

Due to the size of GM, a number of suppliers rely on GM for a substantial portion of their business. And even a hint that receivables from GM are not collectible could turn into a real liquidity crunch caused by their lenders, putting reserves on these receivables.

So there are a number of programs that have already been put in place or are sought to be established that we're seeking approval of today, and these include three specific programs, Your Honor. The first is the Troubled Supplier Program. GM has always maintained a program under which they provide a number of accommodations -- a variety of accommodations for suppliers who are unable to operate without financial assistance. And with due respect to my colleague

Mr. Miller who said that we would only pay obligations as they come due, I think this is the one exception to that rule if Your Honor was inclined to approve this program going forward, because this is the program where if General Motors sees one of its critical suppliers going down that it will step in, because it could take weeks, if not months, to resource a particular sole-source part for a variety of reasons. First, the debtors would have to go out and get more tooling, which takes months. Second, there may not be capacity for that part. And third, a part needs to be tested for quality controls, which is a process which takes a substantial period of time. So, oftentimes General Motors, through its Troubled Supplier Program, will step in and will take the necessary steps to make sure that its assembly lines continue to roll.

2.0

Your Honor, I think Delphi is a good example of a supplier that is receiving substantial financial aid. And any cessation of that support, if this motion was not granted, would -- could certainly lead to irreparable harm of the debtors. So we're seeking authorizing to continue to provide that support through the Troubled Supplier Program in the ordinary course.

The second is the United States Treasury Supplier

Program. In response to concerns raised by suppliers that the government funding of the OEMs were not sufficiently assisting troubled suppliers, a program was instituted whereby the OEMs

would establish a finance company and that would be funded, in part, by the OEMs. And suppliers could effectively sell their receivables into the finance entity and either get paid on an accelerated basis at a discount or continue to get paid over time with the guarantee of the United States Treasury. This has become a popular program for GM suppliers.

7 THE COURT: The OEMs being companies like General 8 Motors?

MR. SMOLINSKY: Yes, Your Honor, original equipment manufacturers.

THE COURT: Or the Chryslers of the world and the like?

MR. SMOLINSKY: Exactly, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, in substance, you're acting as factoring banks?

MR. SMOLINSKY: That's right, Your Honor, with a guarantee.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Continue.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

13

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SMOLINSKY: So without the continued availability of this program, many additional suppliers would see their own credit tightened to reflect the uncertainty of payment. And although the Chapter 11 filing would ordinarily be a termination event under this program, the U.S. Treasury is prepared to waive any default and to keep this process of processing receivables in the ordinary course. In fact, the

proposed master purchase and sale agreement requires continued performance under this program. So with this motion the debtors seek authorization to continue with the program.

2.0

2.4

The third portion is the critical vendor, the traditional critical vendor that we've all come to know. And the debtors have expended significant resources in developing a very disciplined process of evaluating the supplier base to determine which vendors, if not paid, could cause irreparable harm to the debtors' to operate as a going concern.

As previously stated, everyone recognizes the unique problems that could befall an OEM as large as GM if even one supplier refuses or cannot perform their obligations to continue to supply goods and services on a daily basis. The loss of one critical vendor could easily cascade due to this just-in-time manufacturing process into a complete shutdown of an assembly line. And critical vendor motions in the automotive space have often referred to the proverb "For want of a nail, the kingdom was lost." And that's what I think we're talking about here, Your Honor.

So the purchaser of this company, the proposed purchaser, the DIP lenders, certainly understand the reliance on every -- on all critical vendors. They've provided DIP funding under the DIP facility in order to satisfy these needs with certain cushions in case the unexpected happens.

And I just, Your Honor, want, just for the record, for

you to consider as part of this motion a few things. Number one, the vast majority of the outstanding supplier claims are for sales of goods that are used directly for the production of automobiles. These suppliers are all paid up for goods shipped through the end of April. They are on what's called the MNS2 system; so they get paid, effectively, sixty days later. For some suppliers, the ability to get paid for May shipment is critical to their ability to purchase raw materials so that when the temporary shutdown is over they will be able to restart production.

2.0

2.4

Number two, for the most part this is a timing issue. You'll hear throughout this case that the company has between 400- and 500,000 contracts that are going to be assumed and assigned as part of the sale process. And so, assuming that the sale goes forward as planned, many of these obligations would be paid just a few months later as part of the assumption process.

THE COURT: As cure amounts?

MR. SMOLINSKY: As cure amounts, Your Honor. The third and last issue for your consideration is that there's a significant overlap between the critical suppliers that we're talking about here and other motions that we're seeking relief here today, such as the 503(b)(9). The amount of claims that would fall under the 503(b)(9) motion is approximately 1.1 billion dollars. That's the amount of goods that have been

sold in the last twenty days. So with that -- if those sales do have administrative priority, then, again, we're only talking about a timing issue.

Your Honor, the debtors intend, as part of the critical vendor motion, to get extremely beneficial trade We have attached to our motion a form of trade agreement which provides, in exchange for critical vendor payment, to get continued credit on beneficial terms and to adopt into a streamlined dispute resolution program, among other benefits.

As evidentiary support, I'd point your attention to the Frederick Henderson affidavit, particularly paragraph 25 through 27 which talk about the importance of maintaining a viable supplier base and the irreparable harm that could come from not being able to pay critical vendors in the exercise of their business judgment.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody want to be heard on this one?

No response. Mr. Smolinsky, I'm granting your motion in all three of its respects and just taking a moment to explain the bases for the exercise of my discretion in this regard. The Troubled Supplier Program and U.S. Treasury Supplier Program components of your motion are, in substance, exercises of your 363 power, your power to use estate assets for the betterment of the business. For the reasons stated in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

your motion, the Henderson affidavit and your oral supplementation, it's obvious to me that payments of that character are in the best interests of the company and are plainly appropriate.

Vis-a-vis the critical vendor portion, that is not so much a 363 analysis in my mind but a classic doctrine-of-necessity analysis. And I once more think you set forth a satisfactory basis for that in your motion and in your Henderson affidavit. And in your earlier remarks you talked about "for want of a nail". Particularly for a company that uses just-in-time inventory control and whose production lines can be impaired by your inability to get product from your suppliers, a stronger-than-average showing was made on the critical vendor component as well.

All granted, and take care of the paperwork at the conclusion of the hearing.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. If I could ask your indulgence, number 10 on the agenda --

THE COURT: I got to confess to you that in my pile of papers I lost the agenda. Just tell me which motion you're --

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, we're going to skip over the foreign trade --

THE COURT: Wait, Mr. Smolinsky, I'm getting help.

Go ahead, please.

2.0

MR. SMOLINSKY: We're going to skip over the foreign

trade creditor motion and come back to that and go to number 11, which is the warranty and customer practice motion.

2.0

THE COURT: Go on, please. You can start talking while I'm looking.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. The warranty and customer practice motion seeks authorization to continue programs in place to honor obligations and benefits of the debtor to its direct and indirect customers. The debtors maintain several distribution outlets for their products. These include: the sales of vehicles to dealers for ultimate sales to consumers; the sale of vehicles directly to fleet customers; and, finally, the sale of parts and accessories to trade customers.

Given the high cost value of the debtors' products, it's absolutely crucial to maintain various types of warranties and customer programs in order to nurture and enhance customer loyalty and customer satisfaction. I don't think that there's a more important time than today in order to demonstrate to the world that we manufacture very reliable vehicles, that we're willing to stand behind them and provide the best warranties in the business.

Your Honor, just to go through a couple of the details, and I don't want to jump too far into it, but there is a typical warranty portion which includes recall programs.

We're seeking authority to continue a GM Cash Rewards Program,

which is credit cards issued by banks, although GM administers a reward program where parties can earn points and then redeem them for discounts on General Motors vehicles.

2.0

2.4

Your Honor, there's a sales incentive program which is very important to our dealers. In the ordinary course, and I think this is true of all OEMs, there's a tremendous amount of money that goes back and forth between the OEM and dealers in the form of rebates, in the form of credits, incentives for particular vehicles. And so the sum and substance of this motion is to seek authority to continue to grant rebates back to the dealers, to grant incentives that ultimately get passed on to the consumer. And unless we have the authority to do that, number one, the dealers can't remain competitive in the market because they can't pass along incentives, and number two, we're going to start seeing dealers in financial trouble because of the cessation of payments from the OEM, from GM.

And so it's very important that we keep this.

We are currently, as was indicated earlier, going through a program to rationalize our dealer base. And all of this is part and parcel of that program, not only to provide incentives to those dealers that are going ahead with us but also dealers that are going to be winding down. These incentives are very important so that they can sell their inventory in the ordinary course.

So, Your Honor, unless you have questions, that is the

customer practice motion.

2.0

THE COURT: I don't.

Anybody want to be heard on this one?

The record will reflect no response.

I'm granting this one as well, Mr. Smolinsky. This is another one whose wisdom is obvious. I can think of very few things that are as important as maintaining the confidence of our consumers and doing right by them and honoring your warranties and the like. It's granted.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the next motion is the reclamation motion. This is largely procedural. Under the proposed procedure, the reclamation claimants would serve on the debtors a demand, the debtors would investigate the claim, and no later than 120 days file a reclamation notice listing which would list each claimaint in the amounts that the debtors find to be valid under the statute for a valid reclamation claim. Any party that wishes to object to the debtors' findings would have twenty days to file an objection. And then the debtors would try to work out a resolution with the creditor. And if something can't be worked out within ninety days, then the debtors may file a motion before Your Honor in order to fix the allowed amount of the claim.

If a settlement is reached, Your Honor, the settlement stipulation would be circulated to a group of notice parties

and they will have the right to object within ten days. If no objection is filed, then the stipulation will be effective.

And if there is an objection filed within ten days, then the parties will try to resolve it within the next thirty days.

And if no resolution is reached, then we would come back to Your Honor for a hearing on the amount of the reclamation claim.

2.0

THE COURT: All right, pause, please, Mr. Smolinsky.

Anybody want to be heard on this one? Yes, sir, come
on up, please. Actually, a couple of people. The man ahead of
Mr. Sidell -- all right, Mr. Sidell, you got the high ground.

Come on up.

Remember, folks, we need full appearances on the record.

MR. SIDELL: Good morning, Your Honor -- good afternoon, Your Honor. Barry Seidel, Butzel Long, on behalf of a number of suppliers to GM. In this matter, I'm appearing on behalf of Inteva Products. Inteva called me up today and was reviewing these procedures for reclamation, and Inteva was interested in making a reclamation demand. And when we went through this motion and the proposed order, I did notice that this order would expand or would decrease the rights of reclamation parties. Under UCC reclamation, a reclaiming creditor has to notify the debtor and identify the goods it wishes to reclaim. Under the proposal that the debtors lay out

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

before Your Honor, they suggest that the reclaiming creditor needs to deliver to GM purchase orders and the like. And when speaking to my client today, they tell me it could be days before they can obtain copies of those purchase orders.

THE COURT: Your point being that would impair your ability to provide the notice before the time for a notice runs out?

MR. SEIDEL: Correct, Your Honor.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. Before I give Mr. Smolinsky a chance to respond, I'll hear any other folks who want to be heard.

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, James Sullivan of Arent
Fox, counsel for Timken, Superior Industries International, and
Harman. My comment is similar. The requirements of the motion
impose fairly burdensome obligation of the reclaiming creditor;
in addition to a description of the goods subject to
reclamation, requires: to name the name of the debtor towards
the goods that were delivered; copies of any purchase orders
and invoices relating to such goods; evidence regarding the
dates such goods were shipped to and received by the debtors.
In these types of automotive cases it's not uncommon that the
parties might have difficulty establishing, for example, which
debtor somebody shipped a good to, because a lot of these
things are done on an automated basis. It's not necessarily
always done with paperwork.

The other thing, a lot of these documents often will just say "General Motors" and it's not always easy to tell, for example, whether the transaction is with one debtor versus another.

So it just seems unnecessary to impose such harsh requirements just for the filing of a reclamation demand as opposed to establishing a procedure later on if the reclamation demand ends up being contested. It certainly would be more reasonable to only require at a later time that such document-intensive requirements be satisfied.

The other thing that this procedures doesn't do is set procedures for what happens if a reclamation demand has already been served. For example, at least one of my clients has already served a reclamation demand earlier today. And so are they going to have another reclamation demand to the extent it didn't comply with these procedures? Are they going to have to recomply with it? And when would such a reclamation demand actually have become effective?

So those are my concerns, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Smolinsky?

2.0

2.1

2.4

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, just to address some of those points, with respect to the detail that's required, I mean, I think that that is the detail required by the statute, which is quite specific as to what needs to be delivered. I am

sympathetic to the timing, but I think the most important thing that we could do here is to put these procedures in process, because you'll note if you look at the specific order provisions there are notice places. The company has gone to great strides to set up a command center in Michigan where there are phone banks to address supplier issues, to address contract issues, employee issues. And it's important that everything gets funneled. This is a very large corporation. The statement that they don't know which debtor they sold it to, it's all General Motors; that's the only operating company that's making cars.

So I think it's more important that we get the procedure in place where everyone knows where to send the notice to that when the notice comes in we know that we have to contact the specific person at the plant to check the inventory and to start working on the data that we need to demonstrate whether this is a valid or invalid reclamation claim. If people are going to start sending reclamation notices all over the world, we're not going to be able to comply with what is, I think, a strict statutory requirement in order to validate a priority claim.

THE COURT: All right.

Everybody had a chance to speak their piece?

Ms. Adams?

2.0

2.4

25 MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. For this motion,

and actually the next motion, which involves a procedure for 1 2 503(b)(9) claims, we would just recommend that both these 3 motions be heard after the appointment of a creditors' 4 committee so the committee can have their input on the motions. THE COURT: I hear you, Ms. Adams, but the UCC imposes 5 deadlines for the submission of a reclamation demand. And as a 6 creditor might be at risk if it doesn't comply with the time 7 periods, do I have the luxury of waiting that long? 8 MS. ADAMS: It would only be to --9 THE COURT: Leaving -- don't I need to decide at least 10 11 part of this at a sooner time? MS. ADAMS: I would think that Your Honor could --12 well, I don't know, obviously, Your Honor's schedule, and if 13 the matter could be heard Wednesday, but we will be appointing 14 the committee Wednesday, and hopefully they will be choosing 15 16 counsel. MR. MILLER: Your Honor, it could be without prejudice 17 18

to whatever the committee comes up with.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think you're reading my mind, Mr. Miller.

Does anybody else want to be heard?

And I'm going to issue an interim ruling on this now.

MS. ADAMS: That would be satisfactory, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Folks, I'm going to grant the debtors' motion on an

interim basis subject to fine-tuning in one or two respects, and the following are the bases for the exercise of my discretion in this regard:

Anybody who's been around reclamation motions in an 11 where there are material vendor sales to a debtor knows that reclamation litigation, if not properly managed, can have a way of bogging down a debtor and its management and, for that matter, vendors themselves in the early days of an 11. The debtors' approach to getting one's arms around reclamation litigation, especially since reclamation vendors so rarely actually want their goods reclaimed and so rarely want them back, and because so many of them are settled, clearly makes setting up some kind of reclamation mechanism appropriate.

The principle concern that I heard, which is that the debtor may be asking for information that's more extensive than the UCC reclamation demand requires, I'm going to rule that people can -- can and should -- make their reclamation demands, file them at the place designated by the debtor so it can manage them within the times that the UCC provides. From then on, the debtor's more extensive motions -- mechanisms, excuse me, for getting the information it needs to evaluate a settlement, if possible, and to set up a gameplan for teaming them up if they can't be consensually resolved can then kick in.

Anybody who thinks he, she or it has a reclamation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

demand should get it in by that time, and I'm going to permit those things to be filed -- in fact, require them to be filed at the place the debtor designates. Then the debtor's mechanisms will be put in place so that the debtor's not going nuts trying to manage reclamation demands and so that vendors don't have to pay their lawyers a lot of money to deal with things that can be easily consensually resolved.

This entire mechanism's without prejudice of the rights of the creditors' committee or other parties-in-interest to put their noodles together with the debtor to find a more mechanically practical arrangement.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else on reclamations?

Okay. Thank you.

17 | MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Seidel?

MR. SEIDEL: Just a point of clarification. If my client can't put its reclamation demand together because it takes three days or four days to collect the data, is my client prejudiced, or shall I or can I file whatever the UCC requires today and fill it in in due course?

THE COURT: When you're talking about what the UCC requires, you're talking about what might be helpful to meet

the debtors' requirements, because if it's what the UCC 1 2 requires I think you got to do it. If it's -- if the debtor is 3 trying to put you through anything that's beyond what the UCC requires, I think I should cut you some slack on that. But a 4 reclamation demand puts your client ahead of other vendors 5 similarly situated, which is your right under the UCC and under 6 540 -- I think it's 6. But I can't rewrite the UCC. I don't 7 think I should rewrite the UCC, at least without opportunity 8 for parties to be heard. 9 MR. SEIDEL: Your Honor, I couldn't agree with you 10

MR. SEIDEL: Your Honor, I couldn't agree with you more, but my issue really is a little bit different, Your Honor focused on it before, and that is if the UCC would allow me to make a demand without giving purchase orders, that would be a good --

THE COURT: Whatever -- I ain't changing the UCC.

MR. SEIDEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So whatever you're prepared to do in compliance with the UCC, I wouldn't be the one to change that.

MR. SEIDEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But it's without prejudice to the rights of the debtor and the creditors' committee who thinks you didn't comply with the UCC to be heard and your opportunity to oppose.

MR. SEIDEL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

Mr. Karotkin?

2.0

2.2

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. Your Honor, I'd like to address the motion for the payment of foreign vendors.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, the foreign vendor motion is really an extension of the critical vendor motion. It has all the issues Mr. Smolinsky alluded to in addition to the fact, as you well know, that notwithstanding the universal application of the automatic stay, it's difficult, if not impossible, to enforce it in foreign jurisdictions. The issue also applies not only to vendors but to foreign governmental entities that might take remedial action and seize assets, including goods and supplies that would be necessary to be shipped to the United States for use in the debtors' manufacturing operations.

For the reasons stated in the motion, as well as the paragraphs of the Mr. Henderson affidavit to which Mr. Smolinsky alluded, I believe there's ample basis for the relief we're seeking.

THE COURT: All right. Pause, please, Mr. Karotkin.

Anybody want to be heard on foreign vendors or governments?

No response.

Mr. Karotkin, this one, too, is granted. Folks who have represented debtors or have been allied with them, like

their creditors' committees, know the difficulty in enforcing the automatic stay. And the rights under the Bankruptcy Code are broad. Preliminarily appropriate under the doctrine of necessity. It's granted.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. The next motion, Your Honor, is with respect to establishing procedures under Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code as to the resolution and satisfaction of claims asserted under that provision of the statute. The motion, Your Honor, is purely procedural. It sets forth procedures to be followed by people or vendors seeking rights under 503(b)(9). It is self-explanatory, relatively easy to comply with. And I'm happy to describe what the procedures are if the Court would like, but, again, it's simply procedural. And I would just ask that the Court grant the relief requested.

THE COURT: Anybody want to be heard on it?

No response. Granted.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. The next motion seeks authorization for the payment of certain pre-petition shipping and delivery charges for goods in transit, custom duties and tooling and mechanics' lien charges. Just give me a moment, Your Honor, to find the motion.

(Pause)

2.0

MR. KAROTKIN: Your Honor, at any given time, based on the nature of the debtors' operation, there are countless

shipments en route to and from the debtors' various facilities. Therefore, obviously, various shippers and warehousemen currently are in possession of the debtors' goods that are vital to their operations and, under applicable state law, have rights as either mechanics' liens, shippers' liens or warehousemen liens. So, effectively they are secured creditors.

There are similar issues with respect to customs duties that, if they are not timely paid, customs authorities may demand liquidated damages, assess interest or impose other sanctions.

And with respect to tooling, which is critical to the ongoing business of the debtors, again, the suppliers who furnish tooling under various state laws also have rights as mechanics' liens or toolmakers' liens, and again would be treated as secured creditors. Particularly with respect to tooling, that item is vital to the ongoing operations of the debtors. And, in fact, at the current time, there is tooling related to two new vehicle launches, which the debtor certainly must be in a position to be able to pay to make sure that that launch is not held up.

Again, the basis for the relief is similar to critical vendor type relief, critical to the ongoing operation of the business and to maintain its value.

THE COURT: Anybody want to be heard on this one?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Granted. Doctrine of necessity. The same reasons as we've gone over before, and also because it's giving away ice in the winter when you're talking about making a payment to somebody who's got a lien to protect them anyway.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. The next motion relates to the payment of insurance obligations. This motion, Your Honor, seeks authority to continue to pay premiums for the debtors' normal liability property coverage insurance in the ordinary course of business. There is a very de minimis amount outstanding with respect to this insurance relating to the prepetition period. And in order to avoid any potential for the termination of service -- I'm sorry, the termination of insurance coverage, we would simply request authority to maintain the existing insurance, be able to pay the premiums so that we avoid any disruption.

THE COURT: Anybody want to be heard on it? No response. Granted. To the extent it's not a comfort order, it's appropriate under the doctrine of necessity.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir. The next motion, Your Honor, relates to the payment of sales taxes, use taxes, gross receipts taxes and similar type taxes which are outstanding with respect to the pre-petition period. A number of these tax obligations, Your Honor, impose personal liability on officers and directors. And under the circumstances, the debtors don't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

think it's appropriate to submit those officers and directors to that type of risk. It's common relief granted in most

Chapter 11 cases. The motion explains in a fair amount of detail the outstanding tax obligations we're talking about.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, I would -- in my view, the motion establishes the basis for the relief.

THE COURT: I don't have questions.

Anybody want to be heard on it?

2.0

No response. I have never seen a case, at least in this court, where such a motion wasn't granted. It's granted, Mr. Karotkin.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: I should qualify my last remark. I don't think I've seen a motion anywhere where it hasn't been granted.

MR. KAROTKIN: The next motion, Your Honor, relates to the preservation of the debtors' tax benefits and net operating loss carry-forwards. That motion, again, explains in great detail procedures involved to assure that the debtors' net operating loss carry-forwards and other tax attributes, including a substantial amount of foreign tax credits, are protected and that that value is preserved for the benefit of the estate. I will confess I don't purport to be able to explain to you exactly the rationale and how it works --

MR. KAROTKIN: -- and I hope you don't ask me any

THE COURT: What it takes to protect your 382 rights?

questions.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: Well, you've got the advantage that a lot of your predecessor Chapter 11 debtors have plowed the fields for you in this regard.

MR. KAROTKIN: Yes, I did know that. And I'm glad you know that.

7 THE COURT: Some of them, I think, may be in this 8 room.

MR. KAROTKIN: But, again, it is self-explanatory. It doesn't --

(Unanimous laughter)

THE COURT: All right, I'm going to grant this motion on an interim basis. In this circuit, NOLs are plainly protected as property of the debtor. And courts in this district have very routinely provided for mechanisms to do a stop, look and listen before any trading in claims or interest may blow the debtor's NOLs.

This order is without prejudice to consideration to see if anybody thinks that the order can be fine-tuned down the road and without prejudice of anybody's ability to show that any contemplated actions wouldn't adversely affect the debtors' ability to protect its NOLs. But for now it's absolutely essential to the welfare of everybody in this room, and many who aren't this room, to have those NOLs protected.

MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: It's granted.

2 MR. KAROTKIN: Thank you.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Smolinsky?

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. Number 18 on the agenda is the utility motion. Your Honor, this motion is consistent with other motions utilized in this court in order to comply with the newfangled utility statutes as a result of the amendments in 2005.

Your Honor, the proposal is that we would pay a deposit to those requesting utilities equal to two weeks of utility services, which are calculated based on historical average over the last twelve months. So we're not going to tag them for the fact that our plants have been idled, or many of them have been idled for the recent past. It'll be on a twelve-month average.

Adequate assurance will be provided only if they make a request that they don't already hold a deposit of at least two weeks and they're not currently getting paid in advance for utilities.

So, Your Honor, the process and the procedure -- and the order has certain dates to be filled in if Your Honor is inclined to approve the motion -- that we would be prepared to mail out a notice to each of the utilities by tomorrow. would have approximately two weeks, at Your Honor's discretion, to request a deposit. The utility -- if the utility believes

that the deposit offered is not adequate, the utility could file an objection by, I guess, the same period of time. And then a hearing would be held to determine whether or not the utility deposit is adequate. And, obviously, we would use due care to try to resolve those issues before coming back to court.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody want to be heard on this, on the 366 motion?

No response.

2.0

Mr. Smolinsky, the two weeks that you propose is what's become customary in the Southern District of New York. But with that said, in accordance with the majority rule in this area, I think I got to give the utilities a hearing date within the first thirty days to give me a chance to rule if they don't agree with your recommendation.

So your motion is granted with the understanding that you're going to get a date from Ms. Blum, my courtroom deputy, within the first thirty days of the case before -- on or before June 30th. Try to go a couple of days before that, if you can. And it may be on a day separate from June 30th, which might be used for other things, to give any utility that thinks its ox is gored by your recommendation a chance to get judicial rulings from me.

With that understanding, your motion's granted.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. So we will

fill in, for the date upon which they would have to request, somewhere around the 14th of June.

THE COURT: Well, you can tell them that they got to respond to you. But as I understand 366, even as amended under BAPCPA, the debtor, the estate, everybody other than the utilities, has a right to get a judicial determination from me as to the appropriate size of the deposit if you regard the utilities request as overreaching. And, of course, during that thirty days they can't turn off the lights because they don't like what you're proposing.

So, massage your order to put in that concept and it'll be approved.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Miller?

2.0

MR. MILLER: If Your Honor please, Harvey Miller. The next motion, Your Honor, is a request for an order, pursuant to Section 363 and related provisions, in connection with the debtors' motion to approve sale procedures for the sale of substantially all of its assets pursuant to the master sale and purchase agreement with Vehicle Acquisition Holdings LLC, a U.S. Treasury-sponsored purchaser.

All we're asking for here, Your Honor, is, procedurally, for Your Honor to set the dates, as I explained before. The dates that we have requested, Your Honor, is

objections -- my -- excuse me -- June 19th, a bid deadline of June 22nd, and a hearing date on June 30th, convenient to the Court.

THE COURT: In addition to approving the times, you also want me to approve the bidding procedures that was an attachment or somewhere --

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- in your motion?

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Anybody want to be heard on that one?

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Come on up, please.

MR. JONES: May it please the Court, Your Honor.

David Jones, Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District

16 of New York, representing the United States in this matter.

17 With me at counsel table is Assistant U.S. Attorney Matthew

18 Schwartz, who's also going to be point counsel for the

19 government in this matter, and John Rapisardi of Cadwalader

20 Wickersham & Taft LLP, counsel to the presidential Automotive

21 Task Force.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

2.4

I rise in specific support of the sale procedure motion to convey the government's full support of that motion and, more broadly, to convey the government's strong support of

25 debtor and its first-day motions as a whole. And, Your Honor,

I'm just picking this as a relevant hook to say that. And I just have a very few remarks.

The President of the United States today, Your Honor, addressed the importance of this case to the nation's economy to a multitude of American families and businesses and to the government as it seeks to advance the common good. In light of that broad public importance, the United States has devoted enormous public resources in an attempt to achieve a revitalized General Motors. Toward that end, the United States, GM and numerous other stakeholders have engaged in months of intensive arm's-length negotiations concerning the terms on which the government is willing to provide both prepetition emergency financing and debtor-in-possession financing now on terms that greatly benefit the estate.

The United States, therefore, is a critical participant in these proceedings because it holds roughly 19 billion dollars in senior secured pre-petition debt as a result of that pre-existing emergency financing that it has already extended and also because the government has agreed on certain conditions to provide an additional 33.3 billion dollars in debtor-in-possession financing to the debtors.

Now, for purposes of the Bankruptcy Code, the United States' participation in these cases is proper as well as vital because it maximizes value to the estate and, indeed, confers a great benefit on the estate that's not available from any other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

source.

2.0

2.4

Quite simply, Your Honor, as debtors have amply demonstrated, no other debtor-in-possession financing is available. Thus, absent the United States' participation as DIP lender, GM would face immediate liquidation. The United States is willing to invest public money in debtor-in-possession financing to avoid that result because a liquidation would be devastating to our nation's economy and to many individuals and businesses.

The government's willingness to provide this financing is conditioned on there being a clear and effective roadmap under which General Motors can be reconstituted into a viable and thriving entrant in the automotive marketplace. GM is now experiencing continuous losses that cannot be sustained, and the government is not willing to bear those losses.

Thus, the government supports the sale procedure motion immediately before the Court and the interrelated DIP financing applications that will be presented. The government's support is conditioned on this case reaching clearly defined mileposts by dates that, while not easy to achieve, are attainable.

Specifically, General Motors has determined, and the United States agrees, that the best and only way for GM's operations to continue and for the bankruptcy estate to achieve maximum value is through a swift 363 sale process. Under that

process, GM's liable assets would be acquired by a government-sponsored enterprise. The acquisition would be, at least in large part, achieved through a credit bid. And the estate would retain significant cash or equivalence to permit orderly administration of the estate. This path is far and away the best available way to maximize value for the estate.

For these reasons, the United States strongly supports GM in these Chapter 11 cases. Time is of the essence, and we urge prompt approval of the sale procedure motion to which I'm immediately speaking and, more broadly, of the proposed debtorin-possession applications which will be before Your Honor shortly. Thank you.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.0

2.4

Come on, please.

MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you. I think I'll be the last "Good afternoon" of the day, Your Honor, before we head into "Good evening". Andrew Rosenberg, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison, on behalf of the ad hoc bondholders group. Like the Treasury, I think this is just a good opportunity to introduce ourselves and make our position clear. GM has outstanding 27.2 billion dollars worth of bonds, face amount, plus accrued interest, which brings it close to 28 billion dollars. This makes the bondholders the largest unsecured creditor group in this case.

Since December, my firm, Paul Weiss, has been

representing an ad hoc group of GM bondholders holding approximately 20 percent of GM's debt -- or bond, excuse me, and we've been in contact with billions more during that period.

2.0

MR. ROSENBERG: Over the last week or so, we negotiated a deal with the President's Auto Task Force and GM providing for equity and warrants of what we'll refer to as New GM to be distributed to bondholders and other general unsecured creditors if the proposed sale goes through. The terms of this are set forth in a term sheet between the Auto Task Force and the bondholders. This term sheet was then attached to support letters executed by holders of approximately 5.44 billion dollars of GM bond debt through which those holders agreed to support the proposed 363 sale on the terms set forth in the term sheet.

Thereafter, a summary version of the term sheet was filed by GM as an 8-K last Thursday morning. Our firm then conducted what is best described as an e-mail poll of GM bondholders asking if they supported the proposed 363 sale on those terms. In just two days, approximately 1,000 holders who held about over 5 billion dollars in GM bond debt --

THE COURT: Did you say 5 billion?

MR. ROSENBERG: -- indicated their support. Five billion, Your Honor, and that was in just two days. And additional, what I'll call, support letters are still coming in

each day.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

In addition, GM had previously distributed, pursuant to an S-4, an out-of-court exchange offer on far inferior terms to those proposed by Treasury which offered -- we were told it was accepted by approximately 15 percent of GM's bondholders before it expired without being accepted by GM on 5/26. assume that those people who had accepted the offer on the S-4, which is similar to the current offer but also far inferior, would support the new offer proposed by Treasury. Essentially, the new offer just adds substantially to the old offer but is similar in that it's equity-based.

Now, all told, when you combine the holdings of those who executed support letters, provided e-mail support or accepted the S-4 exchange, that makes about 54 percent of GM bondholders who we believe can say support the proposed 363 sale and, most importantly, would like to see it concluded quickly.

That being said, obviously we've not had an opportunity yet to review the actual sales contract and other documents that will be implementing this deal. But, as far as support is somewhat conditional, but we're confident, between now and the time of the final sale hearing, that those deals will be worked out and any conditionality to our support will be gone. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Sullivan, is it?

2 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: Come up, please.

MR. SULLIVAN: James Sullivan, again, of Arent Fox, counsel for Timken, Superior and Harman. I just have two very minor tweaks, Your Honor, that I would request. One is that any contract assumption and assignment notice be served upon counsel of record. That was a change that was made to the bidding procedures order in the Chrysler matter, and I would hope that a similar provision could be interlineated in this order.

THE COURT: Pause, please, Mr. Sullivan. You mean on counsel of record as contrasted to the underlying business entity?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, in addition to, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, in addition to?

MR. SULLIVAN: Oftentimes, in my experience, especially in connection with the Delphi case, Your Honor, my experience was that a lot of the notices were sent to offices where there might have been manufacturing facilities, or really, was sent to places where people didn't really know what to do with them. So I think that a simple fix to that is by having a mechanism whereby counsel of record is also notified, and that would help avoid a lot of confusion.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. Continue, please.

MR. SULLIVAN: The second one, Your Honor, is I noticed that there's a procedure in place for the payment of cure payments by the closing of the sale or as soon as practicable thereafter. I noticed there's no outside deadline. I thought, similar to the Chrysler sale, perhaps a deadline of perhaps ten days could be imposed as an outside deadline just to make sure that it doesn't drag on.

THE COURT: On loan cure payments or payments where you got to do some caucusing or study to find out what the exact cure payment is?

MR. SULLIVAN: These would be undisputed, Your Honor.

I think there's a mechanism in place for resolution of disputed claims, and so that's not what I'm contemplating here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Um-hum. Okay. Before I give the debtors a chance to comment on Mr. Sullivan's thoughts, does anybody else want to be heard for the first time?

Ms. Ceccotti, is it?

2.0

MS. CECCOTTI: Yes, Your Honor, Babette Ceccotti for the auto workers. This is actually in the nature of administrative. But among the notices that the Court is being asked to approve in connection with the bidding procedures order is notice with respect to UAW-represented retirees. And in looking at the materials as filed today, we noticed some edits that we think need to be made. But rather than take up

1 the time here, my suggestion would be that we confer with 2 debtors' counsel regarding the edits that we think are needed. 3 And before they submit the paperwork, I just wanted to make sure that we had made that notation. I don't see the need --4 THE COURT: Well, can I throw out the suggestion that 5 you would caucus with the debtors? And I sense from the tone 6 7 about what you said that you're pretty comfortable that you can work it out. 8 9 MS. CECCOTTI: I think so, yes. 10 THE COURT: And if you can't, you can get on the phone 11 with me. MS. CECCOTTI: Yes, I think that'll be fine, Your 12 13 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. CECCOTTI: Thanks. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 Ms. Adams? 17 MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, during earlier discussions 18 19 with Mr. Miller, I believe he was going to make some statement 2.0 about reserving the rights of the creditors' committee to have 21 discussions or alter or amend the procedural motion. THE COURT: All right, maybe after everybody speaks 22 23 the first time, that can be clarified or confirmed. MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24

Okay.

THE COURT:

25

1 And -- yes, sir?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. EDELMAN: Your Honor, Michael Edelman for Export

Development Canada.

THE COURT: I'm sorry --

MR. EDELMAN: Oh, sorry.

THE COURT: -- Edmond was it?

MR. EDELMAN: No, Michael Edelman --

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Edelman. Okay.

MR. EDELMAN: -- from Vedder Price, representing

10 Export Development Canada.

11 THE COURT: Right.

MR. EDELMAN: We also support the 363 sale process. We believe that this is the optimal means to assure the continuation of the General Motors business, the preservation

of the employment of hundreds of thousands of people both

within GM and across many industries and companies. This

preserves an icon both in the United States and in Canada, and

all of North America, and actually in many other countries.

19 This preserves the manufacturing base.

In sum, we think this is a very optimal motion and support a quick sales procedure. Export Development Canada is supporting the debtors here and has committed to make over 3 billion dollars of debtor-in-possession financing here and is providing multibillion-dollar support prior to the sale in Canada and affiliated companies. So we support the process.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

2 MR. EDELMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Edelman.

All right, before I give Mr. Miller a chance to comment, anybody else want to be heard for the first time? Okay, Mr. Miller, looks like we just have some nits and gnats here to resolve.

MR. MILLER: Well, first, Your Honor, we sincerely appreciate the support of everybody who stood up, and in particular the ad hoc bondholders committee, which, I think, is a monumental step forward from where we were ten days ago.

Your Honor, in connection with the service upon attorneys of record, I'm not sure I quite understand the tweak that is required. Is it an attorney of rec --

THE COURT: I think he's nervous that if you send a notice to a lockbox or businesspeople that they're not going to respond quickly enough, and that if you know who to send the cure notice to -- I mean, if you send it to a lawyer it's going to get more thoughtful attention.

MR. MILLER: The only problem, Your Honor, there's over 400,000 contracts. And if we're just limiting it -- I don't know whether this is the case, Your Honor. Do we just limit it to attorneys who file a notice of appearance in this proceeding? Or what is the definition of "attorney of record"?

THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure if Mr. Sullivan

clarified that in his comments, but if you're looking for the ability to narrow it down to a fixed group and not have to send 400,000, I'm sensitive to that concern and I would think we could skin that cat.

MR. MILLER: We would not have a problem, Your Honor, if attorneys representing Timken, or whoever, sent us a notice of designation, or whatever you want to call it, and we will see to it that they get service of whatever papers and pleadings are appropriate.

THE COURT: Is it possible for you to provide an e-mail address or a snail-mail address for them if they want you to give the notice to a lawyer --

MR. MILLER: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- where you can receive it?

MR. MILLER: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.4

MR. MILLER: We'll undertake to do that.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, I'll give you a chance when

Mr. Miller's done.

MR. MILLER: Okay, in terms of the paying of the cure amounts, Your Honor, there is a procedure set up in the motion that is spelled out. I don't necessarily believe, Your Honor, that everything that was done in Chrysler has to be done here. There is a very detailed procedure that is set out. If there are problems with that, Your Honor, we have no problem about

sitting down with Mr. Sullivan or whoever and trying to work those things out. But there is a process that has been successful in other cases, and that's what we adapted, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Um-hum. Why don't you just deal with Ms. Adams' concerns.

MR. MILLER: Ms. Adams is absolutely correct, Your Honor. This is an interim order. It's without prejudice and full reservation of rights on behalf of the committee, which I'm sure, if there are any tweaks or any problems with the committee, we will be able to iron them out, just as we will, Your Honor, with the UAW.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Sullivan?

2.0

2.4

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I think you've actually pretty well addressed my concerns, but I had one more suggestion which I think might be helpful to some. Perhaps with very little effort the debtors could file some document on ECF which indicates that notices were sent out, and just have a listing of all the suppliers.

THE COURT: 400,000 of them?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, I don't believe that there's that many suppliers, Your Honor. I bet you, there's probably less than a thousand or --

MR. MILLER: It's over 400,000 contracts.

MR. SULLIVAN: Right, but how --

MR. MILLER: We're talking about assumption and rejection of contracts.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yeah, I wasn't suggesting that they actually list out all the contracts, Your Honor, but just list out maybe -- if it's too diffi -- if the other way's easier, that's fine, Your Honor, but I was just suggesting that if they know, for example, that there's 800 suppliers to whom they sent notices to, if they just happen to file, like, a --

THE COURT: All right.

2.0

2.4

MR. SULLIVAN: -- certificate of service listing out the entities, and then the lawyers who represent those entities can take whatever steps they feel are appropriate to try to make sure that they get a copy of the notices.

THE COURT: Yeah, folks, you have to understand that I personally am not in a position to come and play Let's Make a Deal. In a case of this type, there's so much on the line we have to keep our eye on the ball, which is, saving the business, saving as many jobs as we can, saving as many suppliers as we can, saving as many dealers as we can. And though I'm sensitive to people's individual needs and concerns, we have to strike a fair balance between fairness and procedural due process, and not driving the debtor nuts, and not imposing requirements that are going to take money out of the pockets of creditors.

What I am prepared to do is to require the debtor to make publicly available, such as by an ECF filing, an address or e-mail address or some place or person to whom anybody who wants what you're looking for can send a request.

Mr. Sullivan, you can send it to that address, and your needs and concerns will be satisfied. And if there's anybody else who feels the way you do, then the debtor isn't going to have to go through 400,000 contracts or focus on 1,000 individual vendors to meet needs that can be handled more expeditiously. That's my ruling.

MR. SULLIVAN: I appreciate it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.0

2.1

2.4

MR. SULLIVAN: My goal was just to make it easier. I was not trying to create --

THE COURT: I understand, and I place no particular fault with you. It's just -- and I hope I'm not being brusque by this, but I do think that in this case we have to keep our eye on the ball.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Miller?

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. I would just note, Your Honor, we have to get this order entered and this process started within the next two days or it's actually a default under the DIP. So we will work as efficiently, but the

direction that Your Honor just gave in terms of the 1 2 publication, could that be the subject of a separate order? 3 THE COURT: Oh, sure. 4 MR. MILLER: Okay. All right. And we will deal, Your Honor, with Ms. Babette (sic). 5 THE COURT: I'm not talking about a publication in the 6 7 sense of newspaper publication. MR. MILLER: No. 8 9 THE COURT: I'm talking about a one-page filing --MR. MILLER: On a Web site, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: -- to give a first-year associate to say 12 if you've got a desire to be heard on a cure amount send it to 13 whoever you designate. MR. MILLER: I would also add, Your Honor, as 14 Mr. Smolinsky noted, the company has set up an enormous 15 16 communication system. There are people who will deal with dealers; there are people who will deal with suppliers. It is 17 one of the most comprehensive communication systems I have ever 18 19 seen in a Chapter 11 case. 2.0 So any supplier, such as Timken, can call GM. 2.1 are people there who will be there on the business side of it, on the legal side of it, and get this -- there will be enormous 22 23 amount of information available, Your Honor. But we will --THE COURT: Okay. 24

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

25

MR. MILLER: - follow Your Honor's direction and enter

a separate order.

2.0

THE COURT: You can bifurcate them, if you wish.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. The next matters, Your Honor, are numbers 20 and 21, Your Honor. They relate -- both of them relate -- they're both requests for interim orders in connection with the granting of the use of cash collateral and granting adequate protection. The first one, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Would it be a problem for you if you dealt with cash collateral first?

MR. MILLER: Cash collateral?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. The first one relates to the revolver debt, which is held -- or is agented by Citigroup -- or Citibank. The total outstanding amount there is approximately 4. -- I'm rounding it off, Your Honor, 4.6 billion dollars plus some hedging obligations.

The proposal, Your Honor, is that the debtors-in-possession would be able to use cash collateral subject to certain terms and conditions which are agreeable, Your Honor, to the secured creditors. Under the proposed transaction under Section 363, Your Honor, the revolving credit banks will be refinanced out, and the U.S. Treasury will take over that position.

So it's a fairly simple one. Within forty-five days,
Your Honor, post-petition, they have to be taken out,

essentially.

2.0

2.4

THE COURT: The cash collateral is a very clean order. It's much better than some of those that I've seen recently. I just have one or two questions on particular issues, and I wonder of Mr. Pantaleo is the best guy to come up to answer those questions.

MR. MILLER: On a revolver, absolutely, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I think the same language is on the term -- is Mr. Toder (ph.) here, or one of his partners?

MR. MILLER: Mr. Toder is always here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Toder, you can get ready.

If Pantaleo doesn't answer the questions to your satisfaction,

maybe you can try to do better.

MR. TODER: I'm moving up, Your Honor.

MR. PANTALEO: Good evening, Your Honor. Peter

Pantaleo, Simpson Thatcher, for Citicorp as agents under the

first-lien revolver facility.

THE COURT: Mr. Pantaleo, as I indicated, this is a far better cash collateral order than I usually see. You and/or the debtor and/or Mr. Toder properly made the adequate protection payments tied to the diminution in the collateral value. And then you also provided, as is customary for secured lenders, that under the name of adequate protection we pay current interest, attorneys' fees, miscellaneous costs and the like.

MR. PANTALEO: That's right.

THE COURT: In the last half dozen or so cash collateral orders that I've looked at and approved in mega cases, I've authorized payments of interest and attorneys' fees as part of the adequate protection process, but we've written into the order that those payments would be on account of the adequate protection obligation. And I'm wondering if there's some reason why you might have a problem with that.

As I said, that was how it turned out. If you're oversecured, as you seem to be, and especially if you get cashed out in forty-five days, I think what I'm asking for is going to be immaterial. But history has taught me that every time that I or one of my colleagues approves something in a DIP order or a cash collateral order, it becomes inscribed in the history of this Court for the next matter. And as long as you get the money, do you care?

MR. PANTALEO: I don't think so. I think -- if I can make certain I understand what Your Honor said, I think what Your Honor is saying is that we would receive adequate protection payments that would be equal to an amount that tracks interest, et cetera. But you are not making a particular finding that we are actually getting paid interest?

THE COURT: Yes, sir, and I'm not making a finding that that is equivalent to the diminution in your collateral value.

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PANTALEO: No, that is fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

2.0

MR. TODER: Agreed.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Toder.

Lastly, and I have the U.S. government here in a different capacity, and if they're telling me they can act for the entire U.S. government and not just Treasury, that may moot this. But as is customary, again, you and Mr. Toder asked for CERCLA findings and that you're not a control entity. I normally don't grant those without notice to the EPA, which happens to be the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Southern District of New York most of the time. Do you guys want special notice of that? Do you care? Otherwise, I would say, subject to the opportunity for the U.S. government to be heard at the final, you get the CERCLA protection.

MR. JONES: Your Honor, we have been discussing language and have equivalent language in the DIP -- or have been talking, at least, about the insertion of equivalent language in the DIP provisions. I guess what I'd like to do, and I didn't have the opportunity to speak to Mr. Pantaleo, is carry the possibility of curative language on this issue over, for now --

THE COURT: If you're asking for that protection in your hat as a DIP lender, I assume that the interests in your EPA hat that you're trying to protect aren't as critical.

MR. JONES: Your Honor --

2.0

THE COURT: But if you want to have the dialogue with Mr. Pantaleo and Mr. Toder, maybe what I'll say is whatever you guys can consensually resolve is okay with me.

MR. JONES: I'm sorry. Your Honor, I should be clear.

In our capacity as DIP lender, we asked for less protection.

We asked for the limitation on responsible party --

THE COURT: Okay, well, that makes it more relevant.

MR. JONES: -- versus exclusions that ordinarily -that were written in. All I'm saying is, for purposes of the
cash collateral order, in my capacity as lawyer for the United
States as a whole, as opposed to Treasury and the Auto Task
Force, this is an issue that has been identified and not nailed
down as to where we're going to go. We'd appreciate the
opportunity simply, for whatever happens today, to be without
prejudice to possibly revisiting that.

THE COURT: Mr. Toder or Mr. Pantaleo.

MR. TODER: Yeah, I think so, Your Honor. I would just comment that if there's one case that we're dealing with GM where there's not a CERCLA issue in terms of our control of the entity, it's got to be GM. So this one ought to be easy and won't act as precedent for too many other cases. John, of all people, you know that.

We'll leave it that way, and if you have a problem, let us know.

THE COURT: I hope you guys understood what Mr. Toder
just said.

4 THE COURT: I understan

MR. PANTALEO: No idea.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE COURT: I understand the underlying issue, and I understood what the lenders were looking for. And I must say that after the EPA is given notice, I don't think I've ever denied it on a final. But with that said, if the concept is that it's going to be in there now but subject to reconsideration at the final, is that what's proposed?

MR. PANTALEO: That's fine, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

Is the government okay with it?

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, that's fine.

And it may be a nothing, but we're just not certain.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

And, folks, that's all I had on the cash collateral order.

MR. PANTALEO: Your Honor, if I just -- a couple of brief comments on this, if it's okay with Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, certainly.

MR. PANTALEO: First, just to explain the forty-five days so Your Honor has context, Mr. Miller had described that the expectation is that if not the actual -- it's a working understanding is that the lenders would be refinanced in full within forty-five days. Just so Your Honor understands the

context, before bankruptcy, in discussions with both government and with General Motors, we had agreed to amend our revolving credit facility to effectively, for a forty-five day period, waive default interest, which under our facility is five percent, fairly --

THE COURT: Five percent over the --

MR. PANTALEO: Exactly.

2.0

THE COURT: -- circled rate?

MR. PANTALEO: Exactly. Five percent. And so we had agreed that for a forty-five day period the default rate wouldn't apply provided that at the end of that period, either from proceeds from DIP financing or a sale, we don't really care, the first lien facility is paid indefeasibly in full and in cash at that point. And that's the genesis behind the forty-five day provision.

And, Your Honor, this -- for a similar reason, this cash collateral order, by its terms, is only a forty-five day order; the expectation was that. And not to take away from the compliment I think Your Honor may have been paying us about a clean order, the expectation is that we could afford a clean order, a simple order, because this is a forty-five day process. We didn't seem to need to belabor it with a lot of other provisions.

If the unlikely happens, and it turns out we need a much more lengthy period of consent for cash collateral, there

may be, in fact, a few provisions that we would request in here 1 2 in exchange for an extension of that forty-five day period. 3 But I just wanted to mention that to Your Honor so that Your 4 Honor isn't surprised if we come in with something that's a little bit more complicated after forty-five days. Thank you, 5 Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. TODER: Let me just add, I think he --8 THE COURT: Mr. Toder. 9 MR. TODER: -- I think Mr. Pantaleo said it just 10 11 perfectly. I'd just note that the same five percent default rate of interest is contained in the term loan documentation, 12 and indeed the provision on the forty-five days is contained in 13 the order which is before Your Honor at this time. 14 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 15 Mr. Miller? 16 MR. MILLER: The lenders are so gracious, Your Honor. 17

18 THE COURT: All right.

2.0

2.1

22

19 MR. MILLER: I think that was the shortest brief --

THE COURT: You can continue. I think where we left off is that I had just approved the cash collateral order subject to the tweaking in the respects -- Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I wanted to be heard briefly, if you don't mind.

THE COURT: On the cash collateral order?

MR. SULLIVAN: Very briefly. It also pertains to the DIP financing, so I may as well address it together, my small The concern, obviously, Your Honor, is with respect to the setoff rights and reclamation rights of trade vendors. To the extent that either the cash collateral order or the DIP financing order were to impair those rights and those rights had value, we would just request either that nothing in the order, at least on an interim basis, affect those rights, or that such rights receive some form of adequate protection, such as a junior lien or an administrative claim, to the extent of any such diminution in the value.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think you need the debtor to respond on this, unless it wants to. There's a lot of case law on the extent to which financings can, on the one hand, trump the reclamation rights so the others cannot. I'll give you a reservation of rights to argue whatever you think the law is, and everybody else's rights to argue to the contrary. But I see no basis for giving you adequate protection or anything of that sort.

And if in lieu of getting whatever you think you want in adequate protection at the final, you can ask for it then, I'll broaden your reservation of rights to get that. But aside from the fact, at the very least, that assuming, arguendo, that there is ever an entitlement to adequate protection for a reclamation right and that it can trump the rights of secured

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

lenders, wouldn't you think you'd have to show your entitlement under the UCC to a reclamation claim?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I wasn't suggesting that any such rights were senior to any senior secured creditor, Your Honor, with respect to reclamation. With respect to set --

THE COURT: Well, if that's true, then you've got the government's 33 million bucks or there -- or actually it's 15 at this point -- of DIP financing ahead of you. There are 19.4 in pre-petition -- 19.4 billion in pre-petition ahead of you? I think there are some junior secured? And -- look, if you want the reservation of rights, you can have it. I am kind of telegraphing the questions that I would be asking at the beginning of any oral argument if we ever got to a further litigation over this issue.

MR. SULLIVAN: I'm well aware of the issues, Your Honor. And no investigation has been done. I'm just here basically trying to preserve my rights with respect to the reclamation issue. With respect to the setoff issue, there's really no reason why -- and to be fair, I only was looking at the motion on the way down here; I haven't had a chance to study the agreement thoroughly. But I don't think that there's any reason why any of the cash collateral or DIP financing motions should impair setoff rights to the extent that any such rights are valid with respect to any contracts. Those

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

contracts should not be impaired by this. And to the extent that they are somehow impaired, there's no reason why such rights could not receive some form of adequate protection similar to the rights of the secured lenders in the cash collateral order.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Mr. Miller, do you want to respond to it now, his latter point, or --

MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor. I think Your Honor said it much better than I could say it. If he wants to have a reservation of rights, whatever those rights may be, which I think are highly suspect --

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, let his -- and come closer to the microphone, please --

MR. MILLER: -- which I think are highly suspect, that's fine, Your Honor. I think we should move on.

THE COURT: All right. I think we need to move on as well. You got the reservation of rights by consent. And if this is still an issue at the time of the final, both of you or your designees can address it then.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Oh, DIP financing?

MR. MILLER: We can go to DIP financing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Or did you -- at your pleasure,

25 Mr. Miller.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

MR. MILLER: We're on the subject, Your Honor, so we might as well --

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.4

MR. MILLER: -- take -- it's item 24. There's an extensive motion that has been filed for the DIP financing. As previously alluded to, the contemplated DIP financing is 33.3 billion dollars. What we are asking for here, Your Honor, is interim financing of a maximum of 15 billion dollars for the next twenty or twenty-one days, depending on what day Your Honor picks for the final hearing on the DIP.

We have reviewed the motion, Your Honor, with the Office of the United States Trustee. I think there are still several issues outstanding -- am I correct -- in terms of the, let me call them the burial expenses.

THE COURT: Come to the main mic, please, Ms. Adams.

MS. ADAMS: After speaking briefly with Mr. Rapisardi,
I think we have come to an agreement on all of our issues, and
the order just has to be modified.

THE COURT: Okay. Are they of a level that would hit my radar screen, or do you want to talk about them, or are they just the wordsmithing that careful lawyers do?

MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, one would be expenses for -in case a trustee were ever appointed, there'll be a
carve-out --

25 THE COURT: So that's just adding the carve-out, of

that character?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

MS. ADAMS: Exactly, Your Honor. And a turnover of the expense records for the attorneys for the DIP lenders. I'm trying to think is there another one. And the no lien on the avoidance actions under Chapter 5, pending the final order.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

Mr. Rapisardi, do you want to comment on what Ms. Adams said yet, or -- oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Jones?

MR. JONES: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're the spokesman for your --

MR. JONES: Yeah, Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: -- colleagues?

MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. Poor Mr. Rapisardi is silenced by the fact that Congress says only the Justice Department can represent the government. But I can confirm that that agreement is in place.

THE COURT: Well, you want to hand off to Mr. Schwartz? I guess he can do it too.

MR. JONES: Yeah, I'm sure he'll have his day, and perhaps more than one. But, for now, we can just confirm that that agreement is in place as described. Thank you.

THE COURT: Fair enough.

23 Mr. Miller?

MR. MILLER: With that, Your Honor, I don't believe there's any objection to the interim DIP.

	112
1	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Edelman, do you want to be
2	heard on DIP?
3	MR. EDELMAN: We support the DIP. We would just
4	like we're a co-DIP lender, and we just haven't seen those
5	modifications. If we could just see those?
6	MR. MILLER: We'll talk through these
7	MR. EDELMAN: Yeah
8	MR. MILLER: before an order submitted.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	All right, anybody else want to be heard on the DIP?
11	Okay. Subject to making a conforming change on the
12	DIP on the adequate protection on account of, this DIP is also
13	approved.
14	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: And, Mr. Miller, I realize that I I
16	apologize, I never gave you a formal ruling on your sale
17	procedures motion.
18	MR. MILLER: I thought you did. I'm sorry, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: But it is approved.
21	MR. MILLER: Thank you. You had me nervous there for
22	a moment, Judge.
23	THE COURT: No. We got sidetracked somehow. But it
24	is approved, and put in the dates you recommended.
25	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 Mr. Smolinsky?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, Joe Smolinsky of Weil Gotshal & Manges. Before I tackle what I believe are the last two items today, I have one housekeeping item. I would like to move for the admission of Robert Weiss and Tricia Sherick, both of the firm of Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn LLP. We filed earlier today a motion and certification with respect to their admission. They are acting as special counsel and conflict counsel in certain regards in this case. And before we overstay our welcome, they need to file some papers. So I'd like to get them admitted. And I have some orders with me.

THE COURT: All right. They're duly admitted in some other jurisdiction?

MR. SMOLINSKY: Yes. Michigan, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Fair enough. Welcome. Where are they?

Welcome, folks.

18 MR. SMOLINSKY: If I may?

THE COURT: Yes. Well, give them to Ms. Blum when we're all done, Mr. Smolinsky.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SMOLINSKY: There are two related motions relative
to the debtors' interactions with GMAC LLC. One is a motion to
seal certain agreements. And, Your Honor, this morning, maybe

late this morning, we delivered to your chambers a binder of the agreements that we're seeking to seal today. We believe that these agreements contain confidential commercial information. We have no desire to keep these agreements from the committee, for example, Your Honor, but believe that we would like to protect them from certain distributions.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay, on the sealing matter, anybody want to be heard on that?

Ms. Adams, I think I know what you're going to say. I dealt with this issue with Ms. Nakano in a couple of my other cases.

MS. ADAMS: Maybe it's a pleasant surprise, Your

Honor. It appears to us to fall within the confines of Section

107.

THE COURT: Okay. That's likely to make the issue go away.

The sealing motion is granted, but we're going to put the language in the sealing order that I've customarily required to respond to earlier U.S. Trustee's objections.

You're authorized to file whatever under seal, subject to further order of the Court, and that the order is without prejudice to the rights of any party-in-interest or the U.S.

Trustee to seek to declassify and make public any portion of the material filed under seal and the rights of any other party-in-interest to approve such a request.

This seems to be a no-brainer circumstance where sealing it is appropriate, but in other cases, not this one, we've had some issues vis-a-vis overclassification, oversealing. And especially in cases of public importance, we judges have to have the ability to protect what needs to be protected and to revisit that which doesn't need to be protected.

2.0

So the sealing motion's granted. And until and unless I rule to the contrary, it stays under seal.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. Moving to the substantive motion, as set forth in the motion, a significant portion of the debtors' wholesale, retail and other related product financing received by GM dealers and their customers are provided through GMAC. Related thereto, GM and GMAC are parties to dozens of agreements that govern their longstanding financing and operating relationship. These are commonly known to us as the operative documents.

Your Honor, I could safely say, after sitting in rooms with GMAC for quite some time, that despite being former affiliates we entered our discussions on our ongoing relationship at arm's length and certainly in good faith.

THE COURT: Knowing GMAC's counsel, I don't doubt that.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Your Honor, I think we all knew going into our discussions that one could take the argument that

these agreements are financial accommodations. I think we all understood that GMAC needs GM, and GM needs GMAC. And from GM's perspective, and I think Mr. Henderson's affidavit speaks volumes, there really is no alternative to the level of financing that is provided by GMAC.

So what we decided to do is to enter into a ratification agreement, which will bridge to a sale and that -so we wouldn't have to deal with some of the issues of premature assumption. All that the ratification agreement provides is that the respective parties will continue to perform under those agreements post-petition.

We did give GMAC the protection that if we make payments to them in the ordinary course under the agreements that those payments will not be subject to later disgorgement or returned so that they're not increasing their exposure as a result of continuing to provide credit under these agreements.

Your Honor, the agreement further provides that, upon an assumption -- upon a sale closing, that the GMAC agreements, the operative documents, will be assumed and assigned to New GM. And as part of that assumption and assignment, GMAC has agreed that they would have no further claims against Old GM and that we would agree that the estate has no claims against GMAC.

So those are the terms upon which we want to go ahead. We want to continue to make our payments. We want to continue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

to get the same level of financing from GMAC. And, in fact, we're speaking about getting further financing. We don't know what's going to happen with the twenty to twenty-five percent of dealers that don't have GMAC financing. And GMAC may very well pick up the slack. And you may see papers in front of you shortly in that regard.

So, Your Honor, we'd ask that you approve the ratification agreement, which would allow the company to continue to operate under its existing agreements with GMAC.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody want to be heard in opposition?

I see no response.

2.0

Mr. Helfat, I assume you're just being careful in case somebody does rise in opposition?

MR. HELFAT: And if you had any questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Actually I don't. So -- I'm looking once more. There is no opposition. I'm granting the motion, and I'm making the finding under 6003 that a financial commitment of this type is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the estate.

MR. SMOLINSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Okay. Where are we now? Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, the next item is picking a date for the next hearing on General Motors matters, including,

```
118
      Your Honor, the final hearing on the DIP financing.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay.
 3
               Is Ms. Blum still here?
               MR. MILLER: If I might, Your Honor, I would suggest
 4
      June 25, which is a Thursday? Actually, Your Honor, we got
 5
      that from Ms. Blum, I think.
 6
               THE COURT: Oh, okay. Yes, June 25 is fine, then.
 7
               MR. MILLER: That's fine, Your Honor. What time, Your
 8
      Honor?
 9
               THE COURT: I would say 9:45, unless you think we're
10
11
      going to have so many matters we should start earlier.
               MR. MILLER: We're subject to whatever Your Honor
12
13
      thinks is appropriate.
               THE COURT: I'll try to give you --
14
               MR. MILLER: I would suggest, Your Honor, just to be
15
16
      safe, how about 9 a.m.?
               THE COURT: 9 a.m. it'll be. Okay.
17
               MR. MILLER: That concludes all the matters the
18
      debtors have, Your Honor. And again, once again, Your Honor,
19
2.0
      we express our appreciation --
21
               THE COURT: I think Mr. Karotkin's trying to telegraph
22
      you.
           (Discussion between counsel)
23
               MR. MILLER: In the DIP order, the objections?
24
25
               THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's a good point,
```

	119
1	Mr. Karotkin. I could I'd like to have all papers in on
2	anything that has the potential of being contested at least a
3	full day in advance. And if you're going to want to reply,
4	which you often do, then I would recommend that you, depending
5	on how much time you want to reply, require the objections
6	shortly before that. Since the motion's pretty much in Macy's
7	window, how about the 19th as a time for objections to come
8	in
9	MR. MILLER: That's fine.
10	THE COURT: and you or your colleagues getting me
11	any reply, if you choose to do one, by the close of
12	MR. MILLER: How about the
13	THE COURT: Maybe 6 o'clock on the 23rd? Will that
14	work for you?
15	MR. MILLER: That's fine, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Very good.
19	MR. MILLER: And once again, appreciation for taking
20	this hearing so late in the afternoon, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Very well.
22	All right, thank you, folks. Good luck with this
23	case. We're adjourned.
24	IN UNISON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	(Proceedings concluded at 6:38 PM)

			120
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
5	Debtors' motion for joint administration of	42	11
6	the four Chapter 11 cases granted		
7	Debtors' motion for order waiving	42	21
8	Requirement to file list of creditors and		
9	equity securityholders, and approving		
10	form and manner of notifying creditors of		
11	commencement of debtors' cases, approved.		
12	Debtors' motion for order extending time	45	1
13	to file schedules of assets and liabilities,		
14	schedules of executory contracts and unexpire	ed	
15	leases, and statement of financial affairs		
16	granted		
17	Debtors' motion for order granting	45	16
18	administration expense status to the		
19	debtors' undisputed obligations to		
20	vendors arising from post-petition delivery		
21	of goods and services that were ordered		
22	pre-petition but delivered post-petition		
23	granted		
24			
25			

1			121
1	DEGGD I DELON	DAGE	T TNID
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
3	Debtors' motion for order pursuant to	45	24
4	Section 105 enforcing the protections of		
5	Sections 362, 365(e) and 525 granted		
6	Debtors' motion for interim order authorizing	50	11
7	continued use of their cash management system		
8	and to continue to maintain their existing		
9	business forms granted		
10	Debtors' motion for authority to pay	55	18
11	pre-petition wages granted		
12	Debtors' motion for interim order	61	19
13	authorizing: A) continuation of Troubled		
14	Supplier Program, B) continuation of U.S.		
15	Treasury Supplier program, and C) payment		
16	of critical vendors granted		
17	Debtors' warranty and customer practice	65	5
18	motion/motion to continue the GM Cash		
19	Rewards Program granted		
20	Debtors' reclamation motion granted	70	25
21	on an interim basis		
22	Debtors' motion for payment of foreign	74	24
23	vendors granted		
24			
25			

			122
1			
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
3	Debtors' motion establishing procedures	75	17
4	under Section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy		
5	Code as to the resolution and satisfaction		
6	of claims asserted under that provision of		
7	the statute granted		
8	Debtors' motion seeking authorization for	77	1
9	the payment of certain pre-petition shipping		
10	and delivery charges for goods in transit,		
11	custom duties and tooling and mechanics'		
12	lien charges granted		
13	Debtors' motion seeking authority to	77	17
14	continue to pay premiums for the debtors'		
15	normal liability property coverage		
16	insurance in the ordinary course of		
17	business granted		
18	Debtors' motion seeking authority for the	78	10
19	payment of sales taxes, use taxes, gross		
20	receipts taxes and similar type taxes		
21	which are outstanding with respect to the		
22	pre-petition period granted		
23			
24			
25			

			123
1			
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
3	Debtors' motion regarding the	79	12
4	preservation of the debtors' tax benefits		
5	and net operating loss carry-forwards		
6	granted on an interim basis		
7	Debtors' utility motion granted	81	16
8	Debtors' motion for request for an order,	112	20
9	pursuant to Section 363 and related		
10	provisions, in connection with the debtors'		
11	motion to approve sale procedures for the		
12	sale of substantially all of its assets,		
13	pursuant to the master sale and purchase		
14	agreement with Vehicle Acquisition Holdings		
15	LLC approved		
16	Debtors' motion for interim order in	106	21
17	connection with the granting of the use of		
18	cash collateral granted		
19	Subject to conforming change, DIP order	112	12
20	approved		
21	Debtors' motion for admission of Robert	113	16
22	Weiss, Esq. and Tricia Sherick, Esq. as		
23	special counsel and conflicts counsel granted		
24			
25			

			124
1			
2	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	LINE
3	Debtors' motion to seal certain agreements	114	17
4	granted, subject to language in the order		
5	Debtors' motion to approve the ratification	117	17
6	agreement which would allow the company to		
7	continue to operate under its existing		
8	agreements with GMAC granted		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			