

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, *et al.*
Debtors.

**Chapter 11
Case No. 09-50026 (REG)
(Jointly Administered)**

**OBJECTION OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO DEBTORS'
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER APPROVING MASTER
DISPOSITION AGREEMENT FOR PURCHASE OF CERTAIN
ASSETS OF DELPHI CORPORATION, ETC.**

The State of New York on behalf of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (“NYSDEC”) respectfully submits the following objection to the motion by the Chapter 11 debtors General Motors Corporation (“GM”) and its affiliated debtors for an order approving a Master Disposition Agreement (the “MDA”) for the purchase of certain of the assets of Delphi Corporation (“Delphi”) and related relief, for the following reasons:

1. Under the MDA, GM Components Holdings, LLC would acquire certain properties of Delphi, which is presently also in bankruptcy in this Court (Case No. 05-4441(RDD)). Among the properties to be acquired are two manufacturing facilities that are contaminated with hazardous substances, i.e., the Delphi Automotive Systems Site located at 1000 Lexington Avenue, Rochester, New York (the “Rochester Site”), and the Delphi Thermal Systems Facility located at 200 Upper Mountain, Lockport, New York (the “Lockport Facility”).

2. The Rochester Site is listed on the State of New York’s Registry of

Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites as Site No. 828064. DEC classified the Rochester Site as Class 2, which means it is a site that poses a significant threat to public health or the environment for which action is required. The Lockport Facility includes the Delphi Harrison Thermal Systems Site, which is listed on the State of New York's Registry of Inactive Hazardous Waste Disposal Sites as Site No. 932113 (the "Lockport Superfund Site"), as well as three proposed Brownfield Cleanup Program Sites, i.e., Delphi Lockport Building 10 C932140, Delphi Lockport Building 7 C932138 and Delphi Lockport Building 8 C932139 (the "Proposed Brownfield Sites"), and petroleum spill site #0651261 located at Building 6 (the "Petroleum Site").

3. Delphi, as the owner and operator of the Rochester Site and the Lockport Facility and the successor to the past owners and operators of both, is responsible for investigating and remediating the Rochester Site and the Lockport Facility under the environmental laws of the State of New York (Environmental Conservation Law, §27-1301 et seq.; 6 NYCRR Part 375) and under federal law (Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq., and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq.).

4. Delphi Automotive Systems LLC, entered into an Order on Consent with NYSDEC on February 4, 2002 to investigate the contamination and study the feasibility of remedial alternatives for the Rochester Site. NYSDEC is now reviewing the Feasibility Study and will soon select a permanent remedy for the Site.

5. The Rochester Site is contaminated by petroleum, chlorinated solvents, including, but not limited to, Trichloethylene, and heavy metals, including, but not limited to, lead. A number of source areas exist on the Rochester Site. Over large areas, contaminants up to 10 feet thick are floating on the top of the water table.

6. NYSDEC estimates that the remedy at the Rochester Site will cost approximately \$10,000,000.

7. TCE-contaminated soils have been removed from the Lockport Superfund Site, but the remaining contamination will require implementation of a site management plan and completion of an environmental easement. The Proposed Brownfield Sites are contaminated by chlorinated solvents, including, but not limited to, PCE and TCE and other volatile organic compounds. These Sites require investigation of the nature and extent of contamination followed by selection and implementation of a remedy. The Petroleum Site will require further investigation and removal of underground storage tanks.

8. NYSDEC estimates that future remedial work at the Lockport Superfund Site will cost approximately \$500,000. A preliminary estimate of future costs for the Proposed Brownfield Sites is \$5,000,000. A preliminary estimate of future costs for the Petroleum Site is \$500,000.

9. Before Delphi became an independent corporation, it was a division of debtor GM. The contamination at the Rochester Site and Lockport Facility was at least in part caused by GM, during its decades of operation of those Sites.

10. The MDA does not appear to confirm the obligation on the part of the

proposed buyers of the Rochester Site and the Lockport Facility to complete the remedial work at those Sites. The MDA should be amended to state explicitly that the obligation to clean up the Rochester Site and the Lockport Facility will be assumed by the buyers of those sites, including GM Components Holdings, LLC.

11. The requested amendment is required to effectuate the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in Midlantic National Bank v. N.J. Department of Environmental Protection, 474 U.S. 494, reh. denied, 475 U.S. 1090 (1986), which requires that the transfer of a debtor's contaminated property be accompanied by conditions that will adequately protect the public's health and safety.

12. A party that acquires property from a debtor may not ignore State environmental laws. As the U.S. Supreme Court stated in Ohio v. Kovacs, 469 U.S. 274, 284-85 (1985): “[W]e do not question that anyone in possession of the site . . . must comply with the environmental laws of the State of Ohio. Plainly, that person or firm may not maintain a nuisance, pollute the waters of the State or refuse to remove the source of such conditions.” Contamination at the Sites constitutes a public nuisance and pollutes the waters of the State of New York.

13. This Court has already stated in this proceeding, in its Decision on Debtors’ Motion for Approval of Sale of Assets on July 5, 2009, at 65-66 (footnotes omitted): “But the purchaser would have to comply with its environmental responsibilities starting with the day it got the property, and if the property required remediation as of that time, any such remediation would be the buyer’s responsibility:

When you are talking about free and clear of liens, it means you don’t take

it subject to claims which, in essence, carry with the property. It doesn't absolve you from compliance with the law going forward.

Those same principles will be applied here.”

14. Congress placed governmental entities in a different position than other entities in a bankruptcy. Governmental entities remain largely unaffected by the bankruptcy when enforcing police and regulatory authority. See, e.g., 11 U.S.C. § 362(b)(4) (exempting governmental entities from the automatic stay when exercising police and regulatory authority). Environmental regulation is the classic example of the exercise of such authority. Congress further protects State regulatory authority by prohibiting the circumvention of State law in a bankruptcy. See 28 U.S.C. § 959(b) (requiring the operation and maintenance of estate assets in compliance with the laws of the State in which the assets are located).

15. The Bankruptcy Code is not intended to usurp the power of the State to assure the protection of public health and the environment that is afforded by these laws. Cf. In Re Quanta Resources Corp. v. City of New York, 739 F.2d 912, 921-23 (3d Cir. 1984) (abandonment of contaminated property contravened applicable state law “and did so not merely technically, but with severely deleterious implications for the public safety”).

16. To the extent that the MDA would adversely affect governmental authority, it is not authorized by the Bankruptcy Code.

Wherefore, the State of New York and NYSDEC request that approval of the MDA be denied unless it is amended to obligate the buyers to complete the

remedial work required by NYSDEC at the Rochester and the Lockport Sites,
and for such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
July 8, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

ANDREW M. CUOMO
Attorney General of the
State of New York
Attorney for Plaintiff State of New York

/s/

EUGENE J. LEFF
Assistant Attorney General &
Deputy Bureau Chief
Office of the Attorney General
120 Broadway
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-8465

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re

GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION, *et al.*
Debtors.

Chapter 11
Case No. 09-50026 (REG)
(Jointly Administered)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of July, 2009 a true and correct copy of the foregoing Objection of the State of New York to Debtors' Motion for Entry of Order Approving Master Disposition Agreement for Purchase of Certain Assets of Delphi Corporation, Etc. has been electronically filed using the ECF system. A copy of this document was also served on the parties listed below on July 8, 2009 via UPS Next Day Air.

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft, LLP
Attn: John J. Rapisardi, Esq.
One World Financial Center
New York, NY 10281

Cleary, Gottlieb, Steen & Hamilton, LLP
Attn: James L. Bromley, Esq.
One Liberty Plaza
New York, NY 10006

Office of the US Trustee for the
Southern District of NY
Attn: Diana G. Adams
33 Whitehall St, 21st Floor
New York, NY 10004

US Attorneys Office, SDNY
Attn: David S. Jones
Matthew L. Schwartz
86 Chambers Street, 3rd Floor
New York, NY 10007

Vedder Price P.C.
Attn: Michael J. Edelman
Michael L. Schein
1663 Broadway, 47th Floor
New York, NY 10019

Kramer, Levin, Naftalis & Frankel LLP
Attn: Gordon Z. Novod
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP
Attn: Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph Smolinsky
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153

Cohen, Weiss & Simon, LLP
Attn: Babette Ceccotti, Esq.
330 West 42nd Street
New York, NY 10036

Dated: July 8, 2009

/s/ Eugene J. Leff

Eugene J. Leff
Deputy Bureau Chief
Environmental Protection Bureau
New York State Office of the Attorney General
120 Broadway
New York, New York 10271-0332
(212) 416-8465
E-mail: eugene.leff@oag.state.ny.us

Attorney for the New York State
Department of Environmental Conservation