KING & SPALDING

King & Spalding LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-4003

Tel: (212) 556-2100 Fax: (212) 556-2222 www.kslaw.com Arthur Steinberg Direct Dial: 212-556-2158 asteinberg@kslaw.com

August 14, 2017

VIA E-MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ECF FILING

The Honorable Martin Glenn United States Bankruptcy Judge United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York Alexander Hamilton Custom House One Bowling Green New York, New York 10004

> Re: In re Motors Liquidation Company, *et al.* Case No. 09-50026 (MG)

> > Status Letter in Response to the Court's Order Requesting Status Letters, dated August 7, 2017 [ECF No. 14028]

Dear Judge Glenn:

General Motors LLC ("<u>New GM</u>") submits this letter in response to the Court's *Order Requesting Status Letters*, dated August 7, 2017 [ECF No. 14028], wherein the Court directed the parties to submit status reports addressing

whether any intervening changes in the law or factual circumstances regarding the *Motion for Leave to Pursue Claims Against General Motors LLC, and, Alternatively, to File a Post-Bar-Date Proof of Claim in the Motors Liquidation Company Bankruptcy* (the "Motion," ECF Doc. # 12727) have occurred since November 12, 2014, such that supplemental briefing would be helpful to this Court in deciding the Motion.

New GM would like to submit a supplemental brief regarding recent cases addressing when a contingent claim arises for bankruptcy purposes, which will include a discussion of the Second Circuit's ruling from July 2016. Specifically, New GM will demonstrate that the argument made by the Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust previously (and more recently in its letter filed today [ECF No. 14049])—that Movant did not have a claim at the time of the bankruptcy sale in 2009—has been expressly rejected by the analysis provided by the Second

Honorable Martin Glenn August 14, 2017 Page 2

Circuit. See In re Motors Liquidation Co., 829 F.3d 135, 156-157 (2d Cir. 2016) ("GUC Trust thus asserts that there was no right to payment prior to the petition. We disagree.").

In addition, New GM's supplemental brief will also demonstrate that Movant's claims are Retained Liabilities of Old GM, and not independent claims of New GM, as *all* of the conduct giving rise to the claims occurred decades prior to the closing of the bankruptcy sale. Such claims could never qualify as "independent claims" as defined by the Second Circuit. *See id.* at 157 (defining independent claims as "claims based on New GM's own post-closing wrongful conduct. . . . These sorts of claims are based on New GM's *post*-petition conduct, and are not claims that are based on a right to payment that arose before the filing of petition or that are based on pre-petition conduct.").

New GM requests that it be permitted to file a letter brief, not to exceed three (3) single-spaced pages, by a date determined by the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg

Arthur Steinberg

AS/sd