George-WOOMcclag_Doc 12059 Filed 09/11/12 Entered 09 3022 Imperial Valley Dr Little Rock, Arkansas 72212	9/14/12 16:16:56 Main Document Pg 1 of 2
Phone: 501-225-7295 E-Mail: GMINC#sbcglobal.net	COURT CLERK COPT
Retired salaried employe of General Motors with unsecured claims for health care and life in Claim No. 9513, Page 6, Exhibit A, Debtors' 181st Claim No. 21851, Page 6, Exhibit A, Debtors' 185t UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	; Omnibus Objection to Claims
In re	Chapter 11 Case No.
: MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al., : f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al. :	<b>09-50026 (REG)</b>
Debtors. :	(Jointly Administered)
Revenue for the state of the st	

The following letter is in response to the Court's request during the hearing on July 26, 2012.

Mr. David Griffiths Weil, Gotshal & Mangles LP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153

> Re: Court Hearing July 26th

SEP 1 1 2012

July 30, 2012

Dear Mr. Griffiths:

If I understood Judge Gerber's instructions correctly he requested that I forward to you a copy of the "Your Benefits In Retirement" booklet I received when I retired and that you and I try to agree on as many issues as possible before the next hearing.

I will forward a copy of the booklet if you do not already have a copy that was part of attachment "A" to my claim

I would appreciate your forwarding me a copy of the 1985 edition referred to in item 19 of the Debtors' reply filed June 5, 2012.

I hope before the next hearing that you and I can agree on the following:

- L. That attachment "A" with the written offer, enclosures and my acceptance is evidence of a contractual obligation.
- 2. That one of the enclosures to the written offer includes the statement "Complete details regarding benefit plan continuation privileges are contained in the enclosed booklet "Your Benefits in Retirement".
- 3. That the enclosed booklet did not contain the Reservation of Rights clause that was added to the 1985 edition.

An additional issue that I hope we can agree on is that Sprague vs GM dealt with changes GM was making to salaried retires health care benefits such as vision, hearing, dental and coppays and did not include a ruling involving life insurance.

The issue that most concerns me is the supplemental life insurance I was to receive as a retired unclassified employe.

In the discussions with Regional Manager Al Clark in 198h he stressed to me that to be assured of receiving the \$150,000 in supplemental life insurance I should accept the early retirement offer. Otherwise, he pointed out, in the restructuring of the Little Rock Branch from a Control to Field Branch my position would be changed from an unclassified to classified position and I would no longer qualify for the supplemental life insurance program.

The only thing I have seen or been provided about the supplemental life insurance was the letter I received from T. A. Murphy, then Chairman of CM, dated December 13, 1976 and a copy of the insurance certificate itself.

As you know, Mr. Murphy's letter states that unlike the then current life insurance program there would be no redistion in the supplemental life insurance after age 65.

Met Life stated in the letter dated May 30, 2008 that the insurance certificate does not contain language reserving the right to change Plan or Program provisions.

Are you aware of any additional information that has been provided to unclassified retirees about the supplemental life insurance? Mr. Smolinsky's declaration filed July 10, 2012 does not list plan descriptions involving life insurance dated after 1971. Those probably dealt with the basic life insurance plan because the supplemental life insurance plan was not started until 1976 or 1977 per Mr. Murphys's letter.

I will appreciate your consideration of my proposals and look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

5

George W. McClain 3022 Imperial Valley Dr. Little Rock, AR 72212

Dated: Little Rock, Arkansas September 7, 2012 George W. McClain